



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_34433
Title of the Manuscript:	MODEL OF A CLOSED LOOP CONTROL CIRCUIT DESIGN OF AN AUTOMATIC FIRE SUPPRESSION SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The abstract must be a one block paragraph. The methodology applied in the work should be briefly stated. The results from the system testing should be stated and a brief conclusions based on the results should be stated also.</p> <p>Introduction should be 1.0, and other subheadings should come in the form of paragraphs instead of numberings. There are many typographical errors in the work which must be corrected. (e.g., sensitivity.[8] - is wrong; sensitivity [8]. - is correct) Be specific in referring to figures: e.g., in figure 6. Put figures and their respective titles at the centre. Figures must be referenced and explained briefly in the work.</p> <p>Literature review should include the following: Review related works or literatures Review existing fire extinguishing methods The methodology of this work should be properly stated and explained. The circuit diagram is not clear The physical diagram of the general system should be drawn and explained.</p> <p>The references should follow the guide line.</p>	<p>-In one block</p> <p>-results and conclusions stated</p> <p>-corrected</p> <p>-corrected</p> <p>-corrected</p> <p>-corrected</p> <p>-reviewed</p> <p>-methodology stated</p> <p>-guideline followed</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The results from the system tests should be included and explained.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		