



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Physical Science International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_33067
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Prandtl number and Inclination angle on MHD Natural Convection in Inclined Open Square Cavity
Type of the Article	Original research paper.

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Please, the following corrections must be effected to improve the quality of the manuscript:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) In the Pdf file, the highlighted texts with yellow colour are to be corrected appropriately. Each of the highlighted texts are accompanied with "pop-up messages" of what to be corrected. Place the cursor on the 	<p>O K. Thanks. Highlighted are are corrected accordingly.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>required;</p> <p>2) The manuscript is not prepared in the same font size! Please, use the journal's required font size all through.</p> <p>3) Label the Streamlines and Isotherms appropriately, i.e. the axes;</p> <p>4) Follow the guidelines of the journal and correct all that's needed to be corrected, especially the citing and listing of the references must be consistent with the requirements of the journal!</p>	<p>Sorry, It was written before in randomly and now it is corrected accordingly .</p> <p>It is done .</p> <p>O K. It is done</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		Thanks.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript would be of good quality if only the necessary corrections are effected, and the needful of journal are carried out!	Thank you, it is done.