



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_32720
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparison of various Evapotranspiration Models for Estimating Reference Evapotranspiration in Sokoto, North Western, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	It is suitable for publication with minor changes Especially actual references should be added to the section introduction.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	1)This references can be added; -Bayramoglu E., Demirel Ö., "Grass Plants Crop Water Consumption Model In Urban Parks Located In Three Different Climate Zones Of Turkey", AFRICAN JOURNAL OF BIOTECHNOLOGY, vol.10, pp.18472-18480, 201 -Bayramoğlu E., "Trabzon İlinde İklim Değişikliğinin Mevsimsel Bitki Su Tüketimine Etkisi: Penman-Monteith Yöntemi", Üniversitesi Orman Fakültesi Dergisi, vol.13, pp.300-306, 2013 2) Climate data should be given in table.	1. The suggested references have been implemented. 2. The climate data have been incorporated in the study as suggested.