



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_32396
Title of the Manuscript:	Remarks on the Significance of Pentaquark Classification
Type of the Article	Original research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The paper is a general discussion of the use and meaning of the word 'Pentaquark'. Although no original contribution is made, the discussion will benefit readers to get a wholesome sense of this phrase.	The originality of the paper is just the attempt to classify the various kinds of pentaquarks which are currently discussed in the literature. I completely agree with the referee. I'm very happy to see that readers may benefit from my work.