



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_31697
Title of the Manuscript:	Radioactivity levels in maize from high background radiation areas and dose estimates for the public in Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comments (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Please improve the quality of Fig. 1</p> <p>Line 141: "each sample was parked into cylindrical stainless." I think the authors mean paked and not parked.</p> <p>Table 4: what does it mean and uncertainty of 0.00?</p>	<p>Figure 1 was improved by fusing together with figure 2 to form a single figure (and it was entitle as Figure 1).</p> <p>The word changed from parked and now it is written-packed</p> <p>The uncertainty was change, the values are now given in three decimal places instead of two decimal places</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	The paper is original, well written and interesting.	Noted