



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_31668
Title of the Manuscript:	Explaining the Born rule in the intuitionistic interpretation of quantum mechanics
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The article is well written and the authors tried to give an intuitionistic explanation of the cause of quantum probabilities. They assumed two eigen states of an operator which has only a single outcome (either first or second) in a sample space. Arguments are drawn to show, in general a complete solution of Schrödinger equation with an arbitrary Hamiltonian does not exists. However, authors argued possible validity of Schrödinger equation for a given Hamiltonian. It has been proved that the origin of uncertainty (undecidability) of the problem is subjective. The problem is extended to n-eigen states.</p>	<p>I would like to thank the reviewer for the thorough reading of my manuscript.</p>