



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_31452
Title of the Manuscript:	TEMPORAL AND SPATIAL VARIABILITY OF TROPOSPHERIC OZONE IN NAIROBI CITY, KENYA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Somewhere data sampling is not in accord as there are three categories of data sampling – 10 days, 4 years, and three years. How it can be precise?	Revised to four years and 10 day
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	References are expressed in numbers, is OK but in the text, name should give reference, for example: this study are in agreement with [32] who noted that tropospheric ozone In this line 32 should be expressed like: this study agrees with Sauvage et al. (2005) who noted that tropospheric ozone Another example: troposphere were observed by other studies [34, 35] Need to correct through the manuscript.	According to the guideline of this peer reviewed in the text number in Bracket must be quoted instead of the name as suggested
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Reference No. 28 (need to be Uniform year wise too)	Corrected