

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_30177
Title of the Manuscript:	Electroconductivity of steady viscous MHD incompressible fluid between two porous parallel plates provoked by chemical reaction and radiation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b>	<p>1- Section of the "Introduction" has been written in a general form and is not related to the boy of the paper. I recommend the authors to give an introduction about effect of the Schmidt number, Prandl number, Grashof number, Hertmann number, temperature and concentration with velocity profile with related references.</p> <p>2- In section 5, the conclusion does not make any sense at all. I recommend authors to elaborate it in more informative way and summarize the main results achieved.</p>	<p>These effects are given in the discussion and may amount to repetition if included in the introduction</p> <p>Noted, addition made</p>
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b>	<p>1- In section 2, the figure 1 is not clear and is good to include the general form of Continuity equation and Navier-Stoke equation before applying model condition. Also equation (5)-(9) is not clear at all.</p> <p>2- In section 3, i recommend authors to show how equation (13) is solved, that will give insight to (11) and (12) respectively.</p> <p>3- In section 4, i recommend authors to include</p>	<p>Correction effected</p>

	the unit of each label axis in all figures.	Units are dimensionless
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		