



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_29315
Title of the Manuscript:	Hydromagnetic waves in the self-gravitating porous medium under the effect of electron plasma frequency and Coriolis force
Type of the Article	Review paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1: The authors have to manage the equations number which is wrong in two places in 4.1.1 and 4.1.2. 2: All plots are showing the relation of two variables, all other parameters are unity, What is the reason to consider them is unity is there any literature evidence for that? Kindly mention it. 3: Specify the region where the problem exists. Mention the existence of these type of problems in space plasmas as you mention that you have studied it in Astrophysical situation.	1: We change in equation number 4.1 and 4.2 2: Equation shows the combined effect of considered parameters on the growth rate of instability. To see the effect of the particular parameter on the growth rate of instability another parameter must be constant, hence for our simplicity, the constant value of other parameter are taken unity. 3: our present study is related to astrophysical problem so it is very helpful to study about star formation.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Kindly mention some applications and future perspectives of the problem with references.	Some applicability and importance of problem are added.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript sounds good but it treats in very simple way. It requires some changes including its applicability that is most important for the impact of the manuscript.	Yes, we change in some correction in manuscript.