



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_PSIJ_26627</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Design and Development of an Improved Palm Kernel Shelling Machine and Separator</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Authors have not included the figures and tables in the text</li> <li>2. The paper format is very poor</li> <li>3. Comparison of existing and modified machines performances should be shown as a graph</li> <li>4. More results are need to be added</li> <li>5. Unnecessarily more number useless figures were provided and these should be deleted.</li> <li>6. Design calculations should be deleted, these may be get easily from any test books, hence its better to mention the designed parameters</li> </ol>	Made necessary modifications as suggested
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Introduction section is overloaded, it should be reduced</li> <li>2. Less information is provided in the materials and results section. Please elaborate your invention</li> <li>3. I think this is simply copied from a thesis, but thesis writing and paper writing are different</li> </ol>	Made necessary modifications as suggested
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Please give more attention in framing the overall manuscript	