



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_26627
Title of the Manuscript:	Design and Development of an Improved Palm Kernel Shelling Machine and Separator
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need to concise the methodology for example details of gear drive selection is not needed so much. It's obvious. Results and discussion need re-orientation with objectives. 	All corrections have been made
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Spelling mistakes need to be corrected.	All corrections have been made