



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_PSIJ_26627</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Design and Development of an Improved Palm Kernel Shelling Machine and Separator</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. In Fig. 1, cracking efficiency after 19 mm palm kernel diameter is lower in developed machine over existing method. Justify it/</li> <li>2. Put space between two consecutive words</li> <li>3. Made necessary modifications as suggested</li> </ol>	All necessary modifications were made as suggested
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Capacity should be in kg/h instead of no/sec.	