



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_29643
Title of the Manuscript:	The wonderful world of Hammar's experiment
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I have now read the paper "The wonderful world of Hammar's Experiment". The author attracts our attention to a paper by Hammar where he reports about an Experiment he has performed that seems to have been forgotten in our time. It is an important experiment making it clear that the argument of Miller where he defends the possible existence of the ether cannot be maintained in light of Hammar's experimental results. I think the present short review is very interesting, and although it is untypical for a review article due to its briefness, I think it is a useful contribution making known an experiment that deserves to be better known in our time than it has been up to now. The manuscript is well written, easy to follow, and I see no need for changes.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Øyvind Grøn
Department, University & Country	Oslo and Akershus University College of Applied Sciences, Norway