



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_29472
Title of the Manuscript:	DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT SECURITY LOCK SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Line 232 – Spelling mistake “where”. 2. Though author has done enough work. Lack of Preciseness in Contents. 3. Equations are not clear. Equations are numbered 1, 2, 3 etc where they come from. 4. What does “variance” means here? Mathematically it is wrong. Author has given only the difference. And how it is going to improve the authentication procedure is not discussed clearly. 5. What is the influence of time factor? Conclusion can be elaborated. 6. EESLS Working procedure, implementation process is not presented clearly. Plagiarism Issue-Insecurity and crime constitute some of the major problems facing our immediate society today. From https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1210/1210.5973.pdf. Found few more	
Minor REVISION comments	Author is requested to include few more references which are related to topic.	
Optional/General comments	Can be accepted with revisions.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Vaithyasubramanian
Department, University & Country	Sathyabama University, India