



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_29393
Title of the Manuscript:	THERMOMECHANICAL CHARACTERISATION OF COMPRESSED EARTH BLOCKS ADDED WITH SAWDUST
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	There is need for the general review of the grammatical expressions in the manuscript. Line 22 page 2---[1] have précised.. This is not a proper way of starting a sentence. Name(s) of the author(s) should come first in this case... Clearly show the properties of CEB with the different sawdusts (Afzelia Africana and Tectona grandis). Show the sieve analysis of Woba Karou laterite. Fig 2 should be transferred to materials and methods. Compare the outcome/results of this work with published work to enrich the discussion. Clearly show if this work is better than the existing CEB. Are you using any standard such as ISO to compare the result of this work with?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Justify and explain reasons for the choice of these parameters/properties.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The work is relevant to African needs.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ishaya M. Dagwa
Department, University & Country	Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Abuja, Nigeria