



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_PSIJ_28111</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>GROSS ALPHA AND BETA ACTIVITY CONCENTRATIONS IN LOCALLY PROCESSED SALT FROM EBONYI STATE, NIGERIA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound, contains relevant and novelty information.</li> <li>2. The data are well controlled and robust.</li> <li>3. The references cited are current and relevant, but is very important to include some other references particularly relative to the method.</li> <li>4. Is necessary to explain to detail the method at the <b>MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY</b> section.</li> <li>5. Review the grammar and syntax in the redaction</li> <li>6. Maps, figures and images should be showed in a high resolution format.</li> </ol>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>José Martínez Reyes</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Trajectory of Engineering in Energy, University of the Ciénega of Michoacán State, México</b>