



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_26788
Title of the Manuscript:	Some of the complexities in the special theory of relativity: new paradoxes
Type of Article:	Original Research Paper

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The authors display rather typical misconceptions in this paper. Some of these are discussed in the following.- In section II, the authors attempt to give the impression they understand the asymmetry of GRT but discuss “lines of simultaneity or the GRT” which at best suggests the authors think there are global reference frames in GRT sharing the notion of simultaneity which is not the case.- Further down the authors display the misconception that the “acceleration of the first brother cannot influence on the senescence of the second brother”. Nowhere in relativity theory is such a claim made. In fact, nothing strange about time is noticed regardless of what frame you are in, whether accelerated or not. 80 years lived in an inertial reference frame is equivalent to 80 years lived in an accelerated frame and the same is true of your experience of the world if your whole life is characterized by you falling into a black hole. These ideas constitute the basics of relativity theory.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Kennesaw State, USA