



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_25295
Title of the Manuscript:	Photoelectrochemical Performance of a dye sensitized solar cells based on natural pigments with distilled water as extracting solvent.
Type of Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The most important issue is that the authors didn't considered all the indicated comments. From this reason the manuscript needs to be improved. The value of the manuscript cannot be increased by giving superficial responses to the comments, without including supplementary indicated results. Thus I recommend reject.</p> <p>My specific comments are:</p> <p>1. Authors should mention exactly the page and line were they have included the responses to the reviewer comments. This is available for all the comments. For example: response to comment 13: ". The fill factor measures the ideality of the device and is defined as the ratio of the maximum power output per unit area to the product of Voc and Jsc Which is clearly analysed in the text and eqn. 1" Where is mentioned the above paragraph in the text??????</p> <p>2. Authors should request the permission to the authors of ref.[5] to include Fig. 1 in their manuscript. This must be clearly written in the Fig name. (...with permission of ref. [5]).</p> <p>3. All the results must be given for all the 4 samples and for the reference (cell without sensilizer).</p>	



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

- | | |
|--|--|
| 4. Fig. 3.2 must be discussed from the chemical point of view (reactions occurring, etc...)
5. Table 1 - values for reference must be included
6. the mechanism for each type of cell must be illustrated and discussed. | |
|--|--|

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Technical University of Cluj Napoca, Romania