## SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

**PART 1:**

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | **Physical Science International Journal** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_PSIJ_24645** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Preliminary Research For Enabling Intelligent Focus For 3D Imaging** |
| Type of Article | **Original Research Article** |

**PART 2:**

| FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any) | Authors' response to final evaluator's comments |
|---|---|
| There are still issues with the English grammar. I recommend the following corrections to the text:<br><br>1. In the abstract, the authors might want to say: 'The tin ball samples and the samples of influenza virus were viewed with the Dual Focused Ion Beam/ Scanning Electron Microscope (FIB/SEM). [...] The analysis of these images was carried out by measuring the Derivative Sharpness Function using the Digital Micrograph software: the tin ball samples provided perfect results when a pixel difference of 1 was utilized and less accurate results for a pixel difference of 20. Also, a defocus increment of 0.01mm was better than a defocus image at 0.001mm displacement.'<br><br>2. On line 30, I recommend saying '(depending on operator's desire)'.<br><br>3. On line 81, I suggest saying '. The images in Figure 2(e) and 2(f) further illustrate ...'<br><br>4. On line 134, I recommend: 'The images of Fig. 3b and 3c show traces of the tin ball samples while the DSF image in-focus does not.'<br><br>5. On line 156, I suggest: 'Figures 4a and 4b show...'<br><br>After these changes are made the manuscript can be accepted for publication. | |

**Reviewer Details:**

| | |
|---|---|
| Name: | **Corina Drapaca** |
| Department, University & Country | **Department of Engineering Science and Mechanics, Pennsylvania State University, USA** |