



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_23750
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORMANCE OF 19.75% UO ₂ FUEL MATERIAL IN THE CORE OF NIGERIA MINIATURE NEUTRON SOURCE REACTOR (MNSR)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Please supply authors and Institutions According to Figure 2, it seems 19.75% is most appropriate. Is Figure 2 your originality? English grammar must be corrected as there exits several mistakes. If English mistakes will be corrected and Figure 2 is the originality of the authors, I have a mind to accept the submitted manuscript.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hideharu Shintani
Department, University & Country	Department of Science and engineering, Chuo university in Tokyo, Japan