SCIENCEDOMAIN international



www.sciencedomain.org

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_23370
Title of the Manuscript:	THE STUDY OF SILVER NANOPARTICLES IN BASIS OF SLATER FUNCTIONS
Type of the Article	Review papers

PART 2:

F	INAL I	EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
	1)	The sentence in the line 6 in Abstract there are Refernces number as "[2, 3]". It is not	
		good to put Ref. numbers in Abstract.	
	2)	There is still no suitable explanations for the data in Section 2. There are just list of	
		numbers. They should be tabulated and there must be Table Captions and detailed	
		explanations. In the present form it is still in complicated form, isn't it?	
	3)	As I pointed out, whole paper should be restructured again, in my opinion. There	
		should be Sections, for example, 1) Introduction, 2) Methodology, 3) Results and	
		Discussion and 4) Conclusions. A detailed analysis should be done for the literature in	
		Introduction. The considered theoretical approaches should be presented in Section	
		2. Findings with their analysis, critics and comparisons with other studies should be	
		in Section 3. Conclusion should be improved with giving brief novelty and	
		suggestions.	
	4)	The references are not in the same format some of the journals' names are open and	
	-	in long form however some of them are in a short form. They should be in the same	
		format.	
	5)	I would like to say also that the paper can not be called as a Review paper, there	
	,	should be relatively more information in the MS.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mustafa Boyukata
Department, University & Country	Physics, Bozok University, Turkey
