



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>Physical Science International Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_23242
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate Sensitivity Parameter in the Test of the Mount Pinatubo Eruption
Type of the Article	Original Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Although, Manuscript is interesting and finds new results, but Authors are required to add / amend followings:</p> <p>INTRODUCTION</p> <p>1.1 Objectives and Symbols may be removed & Nomenclature be added after Abstract & Keywords</p> <p>1.2 The Mount Pinatubo eruption it may be kept under 1.1 The Mount Pinatubo eruption</p> <p>1.3 Earlier studies may be sub-titled Literature Study</p> <p>Order of 4. Conclusion and 5. Discussion be changed as:</p> <p>4. Results and Discussion</p> <p>5. Conclusion</p>	
Optional/General comments	Article should be properly structured as stated above.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bharat Raj Singh
Department, University & Country	School of Management Sciences, Technical Campus, Lucknow, India