SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_22868
Title of the Manuscript:	Spectrum Diagnostics of a Damaged Differential Planetary Gear during Various Operating Conditions
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		write ms/ner jeeubuck nerej
Minor REVISION comments	1. Consider including a small list or flowchart in the end of the <i>Introduction</i> , summarizing the structure of this work, in order for the reader to find it easier to follow up.	
	2. The caption and the figure/table must be put together and avoid being separated to two pages. Hence, the authors are proposed to double check the Tables 1 and 2.	
	3. The meaning of every symbol should be explained when it is first introduced. Therefore, the meanings of θ_g and θ_p on page 3 must be identified.	
	4. The quality of the figures from 1 to 4, 8, and 11 to 13 is obscure. They must be redrawn or translated into a high-resolution graphics. In this way, it will be easier for the reader to understand what the authors want to express.	
	5. The marks in Fig. 5 should be explained, for example, the meanings of 1 planet, 2 planets and 3 planets.	
	6. The "Fig. No." in Table 4 indicates there are 42 figures in the article. Hence, a double check about the problem is necessary in this manuscript.	
	7. Tables 5 and 6 should be mentioned on page 14.	
	8. The quantitative results in the conclusions must be shown.	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shao-Yi Hsia
Department, University & Country	Department of Mechanical & Automation Engineering, Kao-Yuan University, Taiwan

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)