

The mass lowest limit of a black hole: the hydrodynamic approach to quantum gravity

Abstract: In this work the quantum gravitational equations are derived by using the quantum hydrodynamic description. The outputs of the work show that the quantum dynamics of the mass distribution inside a black hole can hinder its formation if the mass is smaller than the Planck's one.

The quantum-gravitational equations of motion show that the quantum potential generates a repulsive force that opposes itself to the gravitational collapse. The eigenstates in a central symmetric black hole realize themselves when the repulsive force of the quantum potential becomes equal to the gravitational one. The work shows that, in the case of maximum collapse, the mass of the black hole is concentrated inside a sphere whose radius is two times the Compton length of the black hole. The mass minimum is determined requiring that the gravitational radius is bigger than or at least equal to the radius of the state of maximum collapse.

PACS: 04.60.-m

Keywords: quantum gravity, minimum black hole mass, Planck's mass, quantum Kaluza Klein model

1. Introduction

One of the unsolved problems of the theoretical physics is that of unifying the general relativity with the quantum mechanics. The former theory concerns the gravitation dynamics on large cosmological scale in a fully classical ambit, the latter one concerns, mainly, the atomic or sub-atomic quantum phenomena and the fundamental interactions [1-9].

The wide spread convincement among physicists that the general relativity and the quantum mechanics are incompatible each other derives by the complexity of harmonizing the two models.

Actually, the incongruity between the two approaches comes from another big problem of the modern physics that is to unify the quantum mechanics [2] with the classical one in which the general relativity is built in.

Although the quantum theory of gravity (QG) is needed in order to achieve a complete physical description of world, difficulties arise when one attempts to introduce the usual prescriptions of quantum field theories into the force of gravity [3]. The problem comes from the fact that the resulting theory is not renormalizable and therefore cannot be utilized to obtain meaningful physical predictions.

As a result, more deep approaches have been proposed to solve the problem of QG such as the string theory the loop quantum gravity [10] and the theory of casual fermion system [11].

Strictly speaking, the QG aims only to describe the quantum behavior of the gravitation and does not mean the unification of the fundamental interactions into a single mathematical framework. Nevertheless, the extension of the theory to the fundamental forces would be a direct consequence once the quantum mechanics and the classical general relativity were made compatible.

The objective of this work is to derive the quantum gravitational equation by using the quantum hydrodynamic approach and give a physical result.

The quantum hydrodynamic formulation describes, with the help of a self-interacting potential (named quantum potential) [12-13] the evolution of the wave function of a particle through two real variables, the

spatial particle density $|\psi|^2$ and its action S that gives rise to the momentum field of the particle

$\frac{\partial S}{\partial q^\mu} = -p_\mu = -(\frac{E}{c}, -p_i)$. The biunique relation between the solution of the standard quantum

mechanics and that one of the hydrodynamic model is completed by the quantization that is given by

imposing the irrotational condition to the momentum field P_μ [12].

The quantum properties, stemming from the quantum potential, break the scale invariance of the space. This leads to the fact that the laws of physics depend by the size of the problem so that the classical behavior cannot be maintained at a very small scale [12-17] (see appendix A). The aversion of quantum mechanics to the concentration of a particle in a point is due, in the quantum hydrodynamic description, to the so called quantum potential that leads to a larger repulsive force higher is the concentration of the wave packet. If this

quantum effect is considered for the BH collapse, it follows that it stops at a certain point. For the collapse of a very small mass this final point will not be beyond the horizon of the events and it will not generate a BH. Similarly to the classical mechanics, the quantum hydrodynamic equations of motion can be derived by a Lagrangian function, that obeys to the principle of minimum action, and that can be expressed as a function of the energy-impulse tensor.

Thanks to this analogy, the derivation of the gravity equation for a spatial particle mass density that obeys to the quantum law of motion can be straightforwardly obtained.

The paper is organized as follows: in the first section the Lagrangian formulation of the quantum hydrodynamic model in the non-euclidean space is derived. In the second one, the energy-impulse tensor density of the quantum particle mass distribution is formulated for the gravitational equation.

In the last section the smallest mass value of a Schwarzschild BH is calculated.

2. The quantum hydrodynamic equations of motion in non-euclidean space

In the first part of this section we will introduce the quantum hydrodynamic equations (QHEs) where, given

the wave function $\psi = |\psi| \exp[\frac{iS}{\hbar}]$, the quantum dynamics are solved as a function of $|\psi|$ and S , where

$|\psi|^2$ is the particle spatial density and $\frac{\partial S}{\partial q^\mu} = -p_\mu = -(\frac{E}{c}, -p_i)$ its momentum.

For the purpose of this work we derive the QHEs by using the Lagrangian approach. This will allow to obtain the impulse-energy tensor for the quantum gravitational equation in a straightforward manner.

The quantum hydrodynamic equations corresponding to the Klein-Gordon one read [18]

$$g^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial S_{(q,t)}}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{\partial S_{(q,t)}}{\partial q^\nu} - \hbar^2 \frac{\partial_\mu \partial^\mu |\psi|}{|\psi|} - m^2 c^2 = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q_\mu} \left(|\psi|^2 \frac{\partial S}{\partial q^\mu} \right) = \frac{\partial J_\mu}{\partial q_\mu} = 0 \quad (2)$$

where

$$S = \frac{\hbar}{2i} \ln \left[\frac{\psi}{\psi^*} \right] \quad (3)$$

and where

$$J_\mu = \frac{i\hbar}{2m} \left(\psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q^\mu} - \psi \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial q^\mu} \right) \quad (4)$$

is the 4-current.

It is worth noting that equation (1) is the hydrodynamic homologous of the classic Hamilton-Jacobi equation (HJE) and that is coupled to the current conservation equation (2) through the quantum potential.

Moreover, being in the hydrodynamic analogy

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial q^\mu} = -p_\mu = -\left(\frac{E}{c}, -p_i\right) \quad (5)$$

it follows that

$$J_\mu = (c\rho, -J_i) = -|\psi|^2 \frac{p_\mu}{m} = \rho \dot{q}_\mu \quad (6)$$

where

$$\rho = -\frac{|\psi|^2}{mc^2} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \quad (7)$$

and where

$$p_\mu = \frac{E}{c^2} \dot{q}_\mu, \quad (8)$$

Moreover, by using (5), equation (1) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_\mu} &= p_\mu p^\mu = \left(\frac{E^2}{c^2} - p^2 \right) = m^2 c^2 \left(1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2} \right) \\ &= m^2 \gamma^2 c^2 \left(1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2} \right) - m^2 \gamma^2 \dot{q}^2 \left(1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

(where $\gamma = 1 / \sqrt{1 - \frac{\dot{q}^2}{c^2}}$) from where it follows that

$$E = \pm m c^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} = \sqrt{m^2 c^4 \left(1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2} \right) + p^2 c^2} \quad (10)$$

(where the minus sign considers the negative energy states (i.e., antiparticles)) where the quantum potential reads

$$V_{qu} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{m} \frac{\partial_\mu \partial^\mu |\psi|}{|\psi|} \quad (11)$$

and, finally, by using (8) that

$$p_\mu = \pm m \gamma \dot{q}_\mu \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \quad (12)$$

Thence, the quantum hydrodynamic Lagrangian equations of motion read

$$p_\mu = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^\mu}, \quad (13)$$

$$\dot{p}_\mu = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial q^\mu} \quad (14)$$

where

$$L = \frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_i} \dot{q}_i = -p_\mu \dot{q}^\mu = (\pm) - \frac{mc^2}{\gamma} \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \quad (15)$$

where the lower minus sign still accounts for the antiparticles.

120 The motion equation can be obtained by inserting $P_{\mu(\dot{q},q)}$ from (13) into (14). The so obtained equation is
 121 coupled to the conservation equation (2) through the quantum potential V_{qu} .

122 For $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ it follows that $V_{qu} \rightarrow 0$ and the classical equations of motion are recovered.

123 Thence, the hydrodynamic motion equation deriving by (1) (just for matter or antimatter without mixed
 124 superposition of states) read

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dp_{\mu}}{ds} &= \pm \frac{d}{ds} \left(mc u_{\mu} \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \right) \right) = - \frac{\gamma}{c} \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^{\mu}} \\ &= \pm mc \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

127 that leads to
 128
 129

$$\pm mc \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \frac{du_{\mu}}{ds} = \pm \left(-mc u_{\mu} \frac{d}{ds} \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \right) + mc \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu}} \left(\sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \right) \right) = \frac{\gamma}{c} \frac{\partial T_{\mu}^{\nu}}{\partial q^{\nu}}, \quad (17)$$

131 where $ds = \frac{c}{\gamma} dt$ and where the quantum energy-impulse tensor T_{μ}^{ν} reads

$$T_{\mu}^{\nu} = (\pm) - \frac{mc^2}{\gamma} \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} (u_{\mu} u^{\nu} - \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}). \quad (18)$$

133 so that, finally, the motion equation reads
 134

$$\frac{du_{\mu}}{ds} = -u_{\mu} \frac{d}{ds} \left(\ln \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu}} \left(\ln \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \right) \quad (19)$$

136 where $u_{\mu} = \frac{\gamma}{c} \dot{q}_{\mu}$.

137 It must be noted that the hydrodynamic solutions given by (19) represent an ensemble wider than that of the
 138 standard quantum mechanics since not all the field solutions P_{μ} warrant the existence of the action integral
 139 S so that the irrotational condition of the action gradient [12] (similar to the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization)
 140 has to be imposed in order to find the genuine quantum solutions (see appendix B).

141 Equation (16) (following the method described in appendix B) can be used to find the eigenstates of matter

142 Ψ_{+n} , by considering the upper positive sign, and of antimatter Ψ_{-n} , by using the lower minus sign, that

143 allow to obtain the generic wave function $\Psi = \Psi_{+} + \Psi_{-} = \sum_n (a_{+n} \Psi_{+n} + a_{-n} \Psi_{-n})$, where

$$\Psi_{+} = \sum_n a_{+n} \Psi_{+n} \text{ and } \Psi_{-} = \sum_n a_{-n} \Psi_{-n}.$$

145 It must be noted that the equations (13-14) describe the quantum evolution of pure matter or antimatter states
 146 (as we need for the calculation in section 3.3). The more general treatment including the superposition of
 147 states of matter and antimatter is given elsewhere [19].

148 Finally, for the solution of the gravitational problem, equation (19) in non-euclidean space reads

149

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{du_\mu}{ds} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{\lambda\kappa}}{\partial q^\mu} u^\lambda u^\kappa \\ & = -u_\mu \frac{d}{ds} \left(\ln \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} \left(\ln \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

with the conservation equation

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} \sqrt{-g} \left(g^{\mu\nu} / \psi / \frac{\partial S}{\partial q^\nu} \right) = 0 \quad (21)$$

where

$$V_{qu} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{m / \psi / \sqrt{-g}} \partial^\mu \sqrt{-g} (g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu / \psi /), \quad (22)$$

where $g_{\nu\mu}$ is the metric tensor and where $\frac{1}{g} = / g_{\nu\mu} / = -J^2$, where J is the jacobian of the transformation of the Galilean co-ordinates to non-euclidean ones.

3. The quantum energy-impulse tensor density

Given the hydrodynamic Lagrangian function $\tilde{L} = \int / \psi /^2 L dV = \int L dV$, its spatial density L reads

$$L = \frac{\delta \tilde{L}}{\delta V} = / \psi /^2 L \quad (23)$$

that, by using the variational calculus, leads to the quantum impulse energy tensor density (QEITD) [16]

$$\begin{aligned} T_\mu^\nu &= \dot{q}_\mu \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_\nu} - L \delta_\mu^\nu = / \psi /^2 \left(\dot{q}_\mu \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_\nu} - L \delta_\mu^\nu \right) \\ &= / \psi /^2 \left(-\dot{q}_\mu p^\nu - L \delta_\mu^\nu \right) = / \psi /^2 \left(\mp \frac{cu_\mu}{\gamma} mcu^\nu \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \pm \frac{mc^2}{\gamma} \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \delta_\mu^\nu \right) \\ &= \mp \frac{mc^2 / \psi /^2}{\gamma} \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \left(\frac{c}{\gamma} u_\mu u^\nu - \delta_\mu^\nu \right) \\ &= / \psi /^2 \left(\mp \frac{cu_\mu}{\gamma} mcu^\nu \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \pm \frac{mc^2}{\gamma} \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \delta_\mu^\nu \right) = / \psi /^2 T_\mu^\nu \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

that reads

$$T_\mu^\nu = \pm \frac{mc^2 / \psi_\pm /^2}{\gamma} \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} (u_\mu u^\nu - \delta_\mu^\nu) \quad (25)$$

where

$$m / \psi_{\pm} / ^2 \quad (26)$$

179

180 are the mass densities of matter or antimatter where the minus sign refers to antimatter.

181 In non-euclidean space the covariant QEITD reads

182

$$T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu}^{\alpha} g_{\alpha\nu} = \pm \frac{mc^2 / \psi_{\pm} / ^2}{\gamma} \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} (u_{\mu} u_{\nu} - g_{\mu\nu})$$

184

185 3.1 The quantum gravitational equation for spinless uncharged particles

186

187 Equation (19) in the classical limit (i.e., $\hbar \rightarrow 0, V_{qu} \rightarrow 0$) gives

$$mc \frac{du_{\mu}}{ds} = \frac{dp_{\mu}}{ds} = - \frac{\partial T_{\mu}^{\nu}}{\partial q^{\nu}} \quad (27)$$

189 with

$$\lim_{\hbar \rightarrow 0} T_{\mu}^{\nu} = \pm \frac{mc^2}{\gamma} (u_{\mu} u^{\nu} - \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}). \quad (28)$$

191 Moreover, since

$$\frac{\partial \frac{mc^2}{\gamma} \delta_{\mu}^{\nu}}{\partial q^{\nu}} = 0, \quad (29)$$

193 it follows that the energy-impulse tensor leads to the same mass motion of the classical one that reads

$$T_{\mu}^{\nu} = \frac{mc^2}{\gamma} u_{\mu} u^{\nu} \text{ (given that the PD behaves like dust matter [12]).}$$

195 Just from the mechanical point of view, thence, the impulse energy tensor has a freedom of choice so that all

196 tensors $T_{\nu}^{\mu} \equiv T_{\nu}^{\mu} + \Lambda_{(\dot{q}, t)} \delta_{\nu}^{\mu}$ lead to the same motion of matter (in a space with fixed geometry) .

197 On the other hand, from gravitaional point of view, the curvature of space associated to the QEITDs of type

$$T_{\nu}^{\mu} \equiv T_{\nu}^{\mu} + \Lambda_{(\dot{q}, t)} \delta_{\nu}^{\mu} \quad (30)$$

199 would be different as a function of $\Lambda_{(\dot{q}, t)}$. Therefore to end with the correct form of $\Lambda_{(\dot{q}, t)}$ we must

200 require that the classical Einstein equation as well as the correct Galilean gravitational field must be
201 recovered in the classical limit.

202 By imposing this condition the explicit expression

203

$$\Lambda = - \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \frac{m / \psi / ^2 c^2}{\gamma}$$

205 (31)

206 is obtained.

207 Thence, the quantum gravitational equation for particles and antiparticles respectively reads [20]

208

$$R_{\nu\mu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\nu\mu} R_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \left(T_{\nu\mu} - \frac{m / \psi_{+} / ^2 c^2}{\gamma} g_{\mu\nu} \right) \quad (32)$$

210

$$R_{\nu\mu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\nu\mu} R_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = -\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \left(T_{\nu\mu} - \frac{m |\psi_{-}|^2 c^2}{\gamma} g_{\mu\nu} \right). \quad (33)$$

In the classical limit, where particles are localized and distinguishable, we can approximate them by the point-like distribution

$$|\psi_{+}|^2 = \sum_{a_{+}} \delta(r - r_{a_{+}}), \quad (34)$$

or

$$|\psi_{-}|^2 = \sum_{a_{-}} \delta(r - r_{a_{-}}), \quad (35)$$

while in the quantum case they are defined by the solution of the quantum equation.

Moreover, if in the classical gravity, the equation (32) defining the tensor $g_{\nu\mu}$, has to be solved with the mass motion equation (19) (given that $g_{\nu\mu}$ itself depends by the motion of the masses) in the quantum case the set up is a little bit more complicated since the motion equation (19) as well as the gravitational equations (32-33) are coupled to the mass conservation equations (21) through $|\psi|$ that is present into the quantum potential.

Finally, noting that the quantum motion equation (19) is equivalent to the HJE equation (1) (see appendix C) and that, with the irrotational condition of the action gradient, equations (1,19) lead to the same solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation [18], we can write the equations of quantum gravity in the standard notations as

$$R_{\nu\mu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\nu\mu} R_{\alpha}^{\alpha} = \pm \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \left(T_{\nu\mu} - \frac{m |\psi_{\pm}|^2 c^2}{\gamma} g_{\mu\nu} \right) \quad (36)$$

$$\partial^{\mu} \psi_{;\mu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial^{\mu} \sqrt{-g} (g^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} \psi) = -\frac{m^2 c^2}{\hbar^2} \psi \quad (37)$$

with

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \mp \frac{mc^2 |\psi_{\pm}|^2}{\gamma} \left[\sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} g_{\mu\nu} + \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}}^{-1} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2mc} \right)^2 \frac{\partial \ln[\frac{\psi}{\psi^*}]}{\partial q^{\mu}} \frac{\partial \ln[\frac{\psi}{\psi^*}]}{\partial q_{\lambda}} g_{\lambda\nu} \right] \quad (38)$$

3.2 Quantum dynamics in a central symmetric gravitational field

In the classical gravity, the dynamics in a central symmetric gravitational field is simplified if the symmetry is maintained along the evolution of the motion. For the quantum case, the condition of central symmetry has to be owned by the eigenfunctions. The same criterion applies to the hydrodynamic motion equations so that the stationary equilibrium condition, that characterizes the eigenstates, has a central symmetric geometry.

245 Due to the quantum potential form that generates a repulsive force when the matter concentrates itself more
246 and more, the point-like gravitational collapse in the center of such a black hole is not possible in the
247 quantum case.

248 In order to investigate this aspect, it is useful to note that the quantum gravitational equations, without the
249 quantum potential, perfectly realize the case of motion of incoherent matter [12]. In this case the solution
250 depends by the mass distribution and by the radial velocity. In classical gravity, the solution can be expressed
251 in a synchronous system in quiet with all masses [21] following the identity
252

$$253 \quad \frac{Du_\mu}{ds} = 0 \quad (39)$$

254
255 that is
256

$$257 \quad \frac{Du_\mu}{ds} = \frac{du_\mu}{ds} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{\lambda\kappa}}{\partial q^\mu} u^\lambda u^\kappa = 0 \quad (40)$$

258
259 so that, for inward radial velocity (i.e., $u_1 < 0$ where $u_\mu = (\gamma, \dot{r}, 0, 0)$), it follows that
260

$$261 \quad \frac{du_\mu}{ds} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{\lambda\kappa}}{\partial q^\mu} u^\lambda u^\kappa \quad (41)$$

262
263 that, considering the last infinitesimal shell of matter that collapses in a central gravitational field, leads to
264 [18]
265

$$266 \quad \frac{du_1}{ds} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{00}}{\partial q^1} u^0 u^0 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{11}}{\partial q^1} u^1 u^1 = -\frac{c}{r^2} \gamma^2 + \frac{1}{2(r+c)^2} (u_1)^2 \rightarrow -\infty \quad (42)$$

267
268 with r that approaches to zero leading to a point-like collapse in the center of the BH [21].
269 In the quantum case we can observe that the dynamics approach the classical output (41) for large masses
270 since it holds $V_{qu} \rightarrow \infty \frac{1}{m}$.

271 On the other hand, for mass concentration on very short distances when the quantum potential grows in a
272 sensible manner and can be of order of mc^2 , it can give an appreciable inertial contribution in the motion
273 equation (20) through the term
274

$$275 \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} \left(\ln \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \right), \quad (43)$$

276
277 so that the departure from the classical output is expected.
278 Following the quantum hydrodynamic protocol [12] (see appendix C) the eigenstates are defined by their
279 stationary “equilibrium” condition that reads
280

$$281 \quad u_\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0) \quad (44)$$

$$282 \quad \frac{du_\mu}{ds} = 0 \quad (45)$$

284
285 The condition of null total force (45) is achieved when the quantum force (i.e., minus the gradient of the
286 quantum potential) is equal and contrary to the external ones (see example in appendix C).
287 In the quantum case, the presence of quantum potential does not allow us to write the Einstein equation in a
288 synchronous system. Therefore, we can only impose the central symmetry that reads [18,21]
289

$$ds^2 = e^\nu c^2 dt^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2) - e^\lambda dr^2 \quad (46)$$

291

292 where $q_\mu = (ct, r, \theta, \varphi)$ and

$$g_{00} = e^\nu; g_{11} = -e^\lambda; g_{22} = -r^2; g_{33} = -r^2 \sin^2 \theta; \sqrt{-g} = e^{\frac{\lambda+\nu}{2}} r^2 \sin^2 \theta; \quad (47)$$

294

295 that inserted into the gravity equation leads to [21]

296

$$\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \left(T_1^1 + \frac{m/\psi + \dot{\psi}^2 c^2}{\gamma} \right) = -e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{\nu'}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \quad (48)$$

298

$$\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} \left(T_0^0 + \frac{m/\psi + \dot{\psi}^2 c^2}{\gamma} \right) = -e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{\lambda'}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \quad (49)$$

300

$$\frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_0^1 = -e^{-\lambda} \frac{\dot{\lambda}}{r}. \quad (50)$$

302

303 where the apex and the dot over the letter mean derivation respect to r and ct , respectively. Moreover, the
304 quantum potential in this case reads

$$V_{qu} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{m} \frac{1}{\psi/\sqrt{-g}} \partial^1 \sqrt{-g} (e^{-\lambda} \partial_1 \psi) \quad (51)$$

306 It is worth noting that for $m \rightarrow \infty$ the gravitational radius $R_g = \frac{2Gm}{c^2}$ goes to infinity while the radius

307 R_0 , representing the sphere inside which the mass concentrate itself in the stationary equilibrium state, goes

308 to zero since $V_{qu} \propto \frac{1}{m} \rightarrow 0$. In this case, the point-like collapse up to (macroscopically speaking)

309 $R_0 = 0$ is possible.

310 On the other hand, when $m \rightarrow 0$ the gravitational radius R_g tends to zero, while both the quantum

311 potential $V_{qu} \propto \frac{1}{m}$ and, hence, the radius R_0 may sensibly grow.

312 Moreover, given that to have a BH, all the mass has to be contained inside the gravitational radius, it follows

313 that the minimal allowable mass m_{\min} for a BH is the smallest one for which it holds the condition

$$R_0 \leq R_g.$$

315 Being $R_0(m_{\min})$ the highest value of R_0 smaller than R_g , then, for $R_0 < r \leq R_g$ (with

316 $R_0 \rightarrow R_g$) the quantum potential can approximately read (see appendix D)

317

$$V_{qu} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{m} \frac{1}{\psi/\sqrt{-g}} \partial^1 \sqrt{-g} (e^{-\lambda} \partial_1 \psi) \cong mc^2 \quad (52)$$

319

320 Assuming that in the stationary equilibrium distribution (eigenstate) the mass is concentrated in a sphere of
321 radius R_0 for $r > R_0$ we can use the gravitational equation with the approximation of null mass that reads
322 [21]

$$323 -e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{\nu'}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \cong 0 \quad (53)$$

324

$$325 -e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{\lambda'}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \cong 0 \quad (54)$$

326

$$327 -e^{-\lambda} \frac{\dot{\lambda}}{r} \cong 0 \quad (55)$$

328

$$329 \lambda + \nu = 0 \quad (56)$$

330

$$331 g_{11} = -e^{\lambda} = -e^{-\nu} = -\left(1 - \frac{R_g}{r} \right)^{-1} \quad (57)$$

$$332 g = -r^4 \sin^2 \vartheta \quad (58)$$

333

334 from where, for $r > R_0$ and $r \cong R_g$, by (52) it follows that

335

$$336 \frac{1}{\psi / r^2} \partial^1 r^2 \left(\left(\frac{R_g}{r} - 1 \right) \partial_1 \psi \right) \approx \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \quad (59)$$

337

338 and hence that

339

$$340 \partial^1 \left(r^2 \left(\frac{R_g}{r} - 1 \right) \right) \gg r^2 \left(\frac{R_g}{r} - 1 \right), \quad (60)$$

341

342 leading to approximated equation

343

$$344 \frac{1}{\psi / r^2} \partial^1 r^2 \left(\left(\frac{R_g}{r} - 1 \right) \partial_1 \psi \right) \cong \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\partial^1 r^2 \left(\frac{R_g}{r} - 1 \right) \right) \partial_1 \ln \psi \cong \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 R_0 < r \cong R_g. (61)$$

345

346 Moreover, by setting $r = R_g + \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon \ll R_g$, (61) reads

347

$$348 \partial_1 \ln \psi \cong - \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 r \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{R_g} \right) \quad (62)$$

349

350 leading to the zero-order approximated solution

351

$$352 \psi \cong \psi_0 \exp \left[- \frac{r^2}{a^2} \right] \quad (63)$$

353

354 where

355

$$356 \quad a = \frac{\hbar}{mc} \quad (64)$$

357

358 equals the Compton length of the BH.

359 Moreover, since in order to have a BH, all the mass must be inside the gravitational radius, by posing

$$360 \quad R_0 \approx 2a, \text{ from (64) it follows that } R_0 = \frac{2\hbar}{mc} < R_g \text{ leading to the condition}$$

$$361 \quad \frac{\hbar}{mcR_g} = \frac{\hbar c}{2m^2G} = \frac{m_p^2}{2m^2} < \frac{1}{2} \quad (65)$$

362

363 and, hence, to

364

$$365 \quad m > m_p \quad (66)$$

366

$$367 \quad \text{where } m_p = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}}.$$

368

369 4. Comments

370 Even if the hydrodynamic description was formulated contemporaneously to the Schrödinger equation [19],
371 due to the low mathematical manageability, it is much less popular than the latter.

372 Nevertheless, the interest in the quantum hydrodynamic model has been never interrupted since its
373 formulation by Madelung [22-25]. This because it has proven to be very effective in describing systems
374 larger than a single atom where fluctuations and quantum decoherence become important in defining their
375 evolution [26].

376 Moreover, due to the classical-like form, the hydrodynamic description is suitable for the connection between
377 quantum concepts (probabilities) and classical ones such as trajectories [27-29].

378 The property of the hydrodynamic quantum description of being a bridge between the quantum mechanics
379 and the classical one, allows a straightforward generalization of the Einstein gravity (a pure classical theory)
380 to the quantum case, leading to a model with clear mathematical statements.

381 Furthermore, since the hydrodynamic approach, once the irrotational condition of the action gradient is
382 applied, becomes equivalent to the quantum one [12,25], the results can be expressed in the standard
383 quantum formalism with a set of equations that are independent by the hydrodynamic approach and that
384 appear well defined.

385 The hydrodynamic quantum gravity has shown to succeed to determine the minimal mass of a black hole.

386 The model depicts the quantum gravitational behavior in a classical-like way generalizing it with the help of
387 the self-interaction given by the quantum potential.

388

389

390 5. Conclusions

391 In this work the quantum gravitational equations are derived by using the quantum hydrodynamic
392 description. The work shows that, in the case of maximum gravitational compression (when the repulsive
393 force of the quantum potential is equal to the gravitational one) the BH mass is practically concentrated

394 inside a sphere whose radius $R_0 = \frac{2\hbar}{mc}$ is two times the Compton length of the black hole. The minimum

395 BH mass, equal to the Planck mass $m_p = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c}{G}}$, follows by requiring that the gravitational radius

396 $R_g = \frac{2Gm}{c^2}$ must be bigger than R_0 .

397

398

399 References

- 400 1. Griffiths, D. J. (2004). *Introduction to Quantum Mechanics*. Pearson Prentice Hall.
- 401 2. Wald, R. M. (1984). *General Relativity*. University of Chicago Press. p. 382.
- 402 3. Zee, A. (2010). *Quantum Field Theory in a Nutshell* (2nd ed.). Princeton University Press. p. 172.
- 403 4. Hartle, J., B. and Hawking, S.,W., “The wave function of the universe”, Phys. Rev. D 28, 2960,
- 404 1983.
- 405 5. Susskind, L., “String theory and the principle of black hole complementarity”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71
- 406 (15): 2367-8, 1993.
- 407 6. Nicolai H., “Quantum gravity: the view from particle physics”, arXiv:1301.4381 [gr-qc] 2013.
- 408 7. Hollands, S., Wald, R., M., „Quantum field in curved space time“, arXiv 1401.2026 [gr-qc] 2014.
- 409 8. Wang A., Wands, D., Maartens, R., ““, arXiv 0909.5167 [hep-th] 2010.
- 410 9. Witte, E., “Anti De Sitter space and holography”, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys., 2, 253-91,
- 411 10. Penrose, Roger (2007). *The road to reality : a complete guide to the laws of the universe*. Vintage.
- 412 p. 1017.
- 413 11. F. Finster, J. Kleiner, Causal Fermion Systems as a Candidate for a Unified Physical Theory,
- 414 12. I. Bialyniki-Birula, M., Cieplak, J., Kaminski, “Theory of Quanta”, Oxford University press, Ny,
- 415 (1992) 87-111.
- 416 13. Jánossy, L.: Zum hydrodynamischen Modell der Quantenmechanik. Z. Phys. 169, 79 (1962).8.
- 417 14. Chiarelli, P., The classical mechanics from the quantum equation, Phys. Rew. & Res. Int.
- 418 2013;3(1):1-9.
- 419 15. Chiarelli, P., Quantum to classical transition in the stochastic hydrodynamic analogy: The
- 420 explanation of the Lindemann relation and the analogies between the maximum of density at lambda
- 421 point and that at the water-ice phase transition, Phys. Rev. & Res. Int. 3(4): 348-366, 2013.
- 422 16. Chiarelli, P., Can fluctuating quantum states acquire the classical behavior on large scale? J. Adv.
- 423 Phys. 2013; 2, 139-163.
- 424 17. P. Chiarelli, The Planck law for particles with rest mass, accepted for publication on Quantum Matt.
- 425 (2015); arXiv 1503.07663 quant-ph.
- 426 18. H. Guvenis, Hydrodynamische Formulierung der relativischen Quantumchanik, The Gen. Sci.. J.,
- 427 2014.
- 428 19. Chiarelli, P., Theoretical derivation of the cosmological constant in the framework of hydrodynamic
- 429 model of quantum gravity:the solution of the quantum vacuum catastrophe?, submitted for
- 430 publication (2015).
- 431 20. Landau, L.D. and Lifshits E.M., Course of Theoretical Physics, vol.2 p. 109, Mir Mosca, Editori
- 432 Riuniti 1976, p.362.
- 433 21. Ibid [16] pp. 386-417.
- 434 22. Madelung, E., Quantum Theory in Hydrodynamical Form, Z. Phys. 40, 322-6 (1926).
- 435 23. Weiner, J.H., *Statistical Mechanics of Elasticity* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1983), pp. 315-17.
- 436 24. D. Bohm, Phys Rev. 85 (1952) 166; D. Bohm, Phys Rev. 85 (1952) 180.
- 437 25. Tsekov, R., Dissipative and quantum mechanics, New Adv. Phys., 33 (2009) p.p.35-44.
- 438 26. Bousquet, D., Hughes, K.,H., Micha D., A., Burghardt, I., Extended hydrodynamic approach to
- 439 quantum-classical nonequilibrium evolution I. Theory. J. Chem. Phys. 2001;134.
- 440 27. Wyatt, RE. Quantum dynamics with trajectories: Introduction to quantum hydrodynamics, Springer,
- 441 Heidelberg; 2005.
- 442 28. Gardner, C.L. The quantum hydrodynamic model for semiconductor devices. SIAM J. Appl. Math.
- 443 1994;43:409.
- 444 29. Morato LM, Ugolini S, Stochastic description of a Bose–Einstein Condensate, Annales Henri
- 445 Poincaré. 2011;12(8):1601-1612.
- 446 30. Cerruti, N.R., Lakshminarayan, A., Lefebvre, T.H., Tomsovic, S.: Exploring phase space
- 447 localization of chaotic eigenstates via parametric variation. Phys. Rev. E 63, 016208 (2000).
- 448 31. Zijun Yan, "General thermal wavelength and its applications", Eur. J. Phys. 21 (2000) 625–631.

449

450

Appendix A

451

The quantum potential and the breaking of the scale invariance of space

452

In this section we illustrate how the vacuum properties on small scale are affected by the quantum potential.

453

One of the physical quantities that clearly show breaking of scale invariance of vacuum is the spectrum of the vacuum fluctuations.

454

455

The quantum potential finds its definition in the frame of the quantum hydrodynamic representation. For sake of simplicity, we analyze here the hydrodynamic motion equations in the low velocity limit.

456

457

The generalization to the relativistic limit is straightforward since the expression of the quantum potential remains unaltered.

458

459

In the quantum hydrodynamic approach, the motion of the particle density $n_{(q,t)} = |\psi|^2_{(q,t)}$, with velocity

460

$\vec{q} = \frac{\nabla S_{(q,t)}}{m}$, is equivalent to the quantum problem (Schrödinger equation) applied to a wave function

461

$\psi_{(q,t)} = |\psi|_{(q,t)} \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{(q,t)}\right]$, and is defined by the equations [12]

462

$$\partial_t n_{(q,t)} + \nabla \cdot (n_{(q,t)} \vec{q}) = 0, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

463

$$\vec{q} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} = \frac{p}{m} = \frac{\nabla S_{(q,t)}}{m}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

464

$$\vec{p} = -\nabla (H + V_{qu}), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

465

$$S = \int_{t_0}^t dt \left(\frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{p}}{2m} - V_{(q)} - V_{qu}(n) \right) \quad (\text{A.4})$$

466

where the Hamiltonian of the system is $H = \frac{\vec{p} \cdot \vec{p}}{2m} + V_{(q)}$ and where V_{qu} is the quantum potential that

467

reads

468

469

$$V_{qu} = -\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\right) n^{-1/2} \nabla \cdot \nabla n^{1/2}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

470

For macroscopic objects (when the ratio $\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}$ is very small) the limit of $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ can be applied and

471

equations (A.1-A.4) lead to the classical equation of motion. Even, such simplification *tout court* is not mathematically correct, the stochasticity must be introduced to justify it [14,16].

472

473

Actually, since the non local characteristics of quantum mechanics can be generated also by an infinitesimal quantum potential, it can be disregarded when random fluctuations overcame it and produce quantum

474

475

decoherence [14,16,30].

476 If we consider the fluctuations of the variable $n_{(q,t)} = |\psi|^2_{(q,t)}$ in the vacuum, as shown in ref.[14-16]
 477 equation (1) can be derived as the deterministic limit of the stochastic equation

$$478 \quad \partial_t n_{(q,t)} = -\nabla \cdot (n_{(q,t)} \dot{q}) + \eta_{(q,t,T)} \quad (A.6)$$

479 For the sufficiently general case, to be of practical interest, $\eta_{(q,t,T)}$ can be assumed Gaussian with null
 480 correlation time and independent noises on different co-ordinates. In this case, the stochastic partial
 481 differential equation (A.6) is supplemented by the relation [16]
 482

$$483 \quad \langle \eta_{(q_\alpha,t)}, \eta_{(q_\beta+\lambda,t+\tau)} \rangle = \langle \eta_{(q_\alpha)}, \eta_{(q_\beta)} \rangle G(\lambda) \delta(\tau) \delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad (A.7)$$

484 where $\langle \eta_{(q_\alpha)}, \eta_{(q_\beta)} \rangle \propto kT$ [16] where T is the amplitude parameter of the noise (e.g., the temperature
 485 of an ideal gas thermostat in equilibrium with the vacuum [14,16]) and $G(\lambda)$ is the shape of the spatial
 486 correlation function of the noise η .

487 In order that the energy fluctuations of the quantum potential do not diverge, the shape of the spatial
 488 correlation function cannot be a delta-function (so that the spectrum of the spatial noise cannot be white) but
 489 owns the the correlation function

$$490 \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow 0} G(\lambda) = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_c}\right)^2\right]. \quad (A.8)$$

491 The noise spatial correlation function (A.8) is a direct consequence of the PD derivatives of the quantum potential that
 492 give rise to an elastic-like contribution to the system energy that reads

$$493 \quad \overline{H}_{qu} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} n_{(q,t)} V_{qu}(q,t) dq = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} n_{(q,t)}^{1/2} \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \right) \nabla \cdot \nabla n_{(q,t)}^{1/2} dq, \quad (A.9)$$

494 where large derivatives of $n(q,t)$ generate high quantum potential energy. This can be verified by calculating the
 495 quantum potential values due to the sinusoidal fluctuation of the wave function in the vacuum (i.e., $V_{(q)} = 0$) (e.g.,
 496 mono-dimensional case)

$$497 \quad \psi = \psi_0 \cos \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} q \quad (A.10)$$

498 that leads to

$$499 \quad V_{qu} = - \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \right) \left(\cos^2 \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} q \right)^{-1/2} \nabla \cdot \nabla \left(\cos^2 \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} q \right)^{1/2} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda} \right)^2 \quad (A.11)$$

500

501 showing that the energy of the quantum potential grows as the inverse squared of the the wave length of
 502 fluctuation.

503 Therefore, the presence of components with near zero wave length λ into the spectrum of fluctuations can lead to
 504 fluctuations of quantum potential with finite amplitude even in the case of null noise amplitude (i.e., $T \rightarrow 0$).

505 In this case the deterministic limit (A.1-A.3) contains additional solutions to the standard quantum mechanics (since
 506 fluctuations of the quantum potential would not be suppressed).

507 Thence, from the mathematical inspection of stochastic equation (A.6-A.7) it comes out that in order to obtain the
 508 quantum mechanics on microscopic scale, the additional conditions (A.8) must be included to the set of the stochastic
 509 equations of the hydrodynamic quantum mechanics [14-16].

510 A simple derivation of the correlation function (A.8) can come by considering the spectrum of the PD fluctuations of
 511 the vacuum. Since each component of spatial frequency $k = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$ brings the energy contribution of quantum
 512 potential (A.11), the probability that it happens is

$$513 \quad p = \exp\left[-\frac{E}{kT}\right] = \exp\left[-\frac{\langle V_{(q)} + V_{qu} \rangle}{kT}\right] \quad (A.12)$$

514 that, for the empty vacuum (i.e., $V_{(q)} = 0$), leads to the expression:

$$515 \quad p \propto \exp\left[-\frac{\langle V_{qu} \rangle}{kT}\right] = \exp\left[-\frac{\langle \frac{\hbar^2 \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\right)^2}{2m} \rangle}{kT}\right] \quad (A.13)$$

$$= \exp\left[-\frac{\hbar^2 \left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\right)^2}{2mkT}\right] = \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\pi\lambda_c}{\lambda}\right)^2\right] = \exp\left[-\frac{\hbar}{2mc} \frac{\hbar c}{\lambda kT}\right]$$

516 where

$$517 \quad \lambda_c = 2 \frac{\hbar}{(2mkT)^{1/2}} \quad (A.14)$$

518 From (A.13) it follows that the spatial frequency spectrum $S(k) \propto p\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\right)$ of the vacuum fluctuations is not
 519 white.

520 Fluctuations with smaller wave length have larger energy (and lower probability of happening) so that when λ is
 521 smaller than λ_c their amplitude goes quickly to zero.

522 Given the spatial frequency spectrum $S(k) \propto p\left(\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}\right)$, the spatial correlation function of the vacuum
 523 fluctuation reads

$$524 \quad G_{(\lambda)} \propto \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp[ik\lambda] S_{(k)} dk \propto \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp[ik\lambda] \exp\left[-\left(k \frac{\lambda_c}{2}\right)^2\right] dk$$

$$525 \quad \propto \frac{\pi^{1/2}}{\lambda_c} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda_c}\right)^2\right] \quad (A.15)$$

526 that gives (A.8).

527 The fact that the vacuum fluctuations do not have a white spectrum but have a length “built in” (i.e., the De Broglie
 528 thermal wavelength λ_c) shows the breaking of the its scale invariance: The properties of the space on a small scale

are very different from those ones we know on macroscopic scale. When the physical length of a system is smaller than λ_c , the deterministic limit of (A.6) (i.e., the quantum mechanics) applies [31] and we have the emerging of the quantum behavior [16].

Appendix B

Analysis of the quantization condition in the quantum hydrodynamic description

If we look at the mathematical manageability of QHEs of quantum mechanics (A.1-A.5) no one would consider them.

Nevertheless, the QHEs attract much attention by researchers. The motivation resides in the formal analogy with the classical mechanics that is appropriate to study those phenomena connecting the quantum behavior and the classical one.

In order to establish the hydrodynamic analogy, the gradient of action (A.4) has to be considered as the momentum of the particle. When we do that, we broaden the solutions so that not all solutions of the hydrodynamic equations can be solutions of the Schrödinger problem.

As well described in ref.[12], the state of a particle in the QHEs is defined by the real functions

$$|\psi|^2 = n_{(q,t)} \quad \text{and} \quad p = \nabla S_{(q,t)}.$$

The restriction of the solutions of the QHEs to those ones of the standard quantum problem comes from additional conditions that must be imposed in order to obtain the quantization of the action.

The integrability of the action gradient, in order to have the scalar action function S , is warranted if the probability fluid is irrotational, that being

$$S_{(q,t)} = \int_{q_0}^q dl \cdot \nabla S = \int_{q_0}^q dl \cdot p \quad (\text{B.1})$$

is warranted by the condition

$$\nabla \times p = 0 \quad (\text{B.2})$$

so that it holds

$$\Gamma_C = \oint dl \cdot \dot{m} q = 0 \quad (\text{B.3})$$

Moreover, since the action is contained in the exponential argument of the wave function, all the multiples of $2\pi\hbar$, with

$$S_{n(q,t)} = S_{0(q,t)} + 2n\pi\hbar = S_{0(q_0,t)} + \int_{q_0}^q dl \cdot p + 2n\pi\hbar \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (\text{B.4})$$

are accepted.

Solving the quantum eigenstates in the hydrodynamic description

568
569 In this section we will show how the problem of finding the quantum eigenstates can be carried out in the
570 hydrodynamic description. Since the method does not change either in classic approach or in the relativistic
571 one, we give here an example in the simple classical case of an harmonic oscillator.

572 In the hydrodynamic description, the eigenstates are identified by their property of stationarity that is given
573 by the “equilibrium” condition

$$574 \quad \dot{p} = 0 \quad (B.5.a)$$

576 (that happens when the force generated by the quantum potential exactly counterbalances that one stemming
577 from the Hamiltonian potential) with the initial “stationary” condition

$$579 \quad \dot{q} = 0 \quad (B.5.b)$$

582 The initial condition (B.5.b) united to the equilibrium condition leads to the stationarity $\dot{q} = 0$ along all
583 times and, therefore, by (B.5.a) the eigenstates are irrotational.

584 Since the quantum potential changes itself with the state of the system, more than one stationary state (each
585 one with its own V_{qu_n}) is possible and more than one quantized eigenvalues of the energy may exist.

586 For a time independent Hamiltonian $H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V_{(q)}$, whose hydrodynamic energy reads

$$587 \quad [31] E = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V_{(q)} + V_{qu}, \text{ with eigenstates } \psi_n(q) \text{ (for which it holds } p = m \dot{q} = 0 \text{) it follows that}$$

$$588 \quad S_n = \int_{t_0}^t dt \left(\frac{p \cdot p}{2m} - V_{(q)} - V_{qu_n} \right) = - \left(V_{(q)} + V_{qu_n} \right) \int_{t_0}^t dt = -E_n (t - t_0) \quad (B.6)$$

590 where $V_{qu_n} = V_{qu}(\psi_n)$, and that

$$591 \quad V_{qu_n} = E_n - V_{(q)} \quad (B.7)$$

594 where (B.7) is the differential equation, that in the quantum hydrodynamic description, allows to derive to the
595 eigenstates.

597 For instance, for a harmonic oscillator (i.e., $V_{(q)} = \frac{m\omega^2}{2} q^2$) (B.7) reads

$$598 \quad V_{qu} = - \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \right) / \psi_n \nabla \cdot \nabla / \psi_n = E_n - \frac{m\omega^2 q^2}{2}. \quad (B.8)$$

599 If for (B.8) we search a solution of type

$$601 \quad |\psi|_{(q,t)} = A_{n(q)} \exp(-aq^2), \quad (B.9)$$

603

604 we obtain that $a = \frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}$ and $A_{n(q)} = H_{n(\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}q)}$ (where $H_{n(x)}$ represents the n -th Hermite polynomial).

605 Therefore, the generic n -th eigenstate reads

606
607
$$\psi_{n(q)} = |\psi|_{(q,t)} \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{(q,t)}\right] = H_{n(\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}q)} \exp\left(-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} q^2\right) \exp\left(-\frac{iE_n t}{\hbar}\right), \quad (\text{B.10})$$

608
609 From (B.10) it follows that the quantum potential of the n -th eigenstate reads

610
611
$$\begin{aligned} V_{qu}^n &= -\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\right) |\psi| \nabla_q \cdot \nabla_q |\psi| \\ &= -\frac{m\omega^2}{2} q^2 + \left[n \left(\frac{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar} H_{n-1} - 2(n-1)H_{n-2}}{H_n} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \right] \hbar\omega \\ &= -\frac{m\omega^2}{2} q^2 + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \hbar\omega \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

612
613 where it has been used the recurrence formula of the Hermite polynomials

614

615
$$H_{n+1} = \frac{m\omega}{\hbar} q H_n - 2n H_{n-1}, \quad (\text{B.13})$$

616

617 that by (B.7) leads to

618
$$E_n = V_{qu_n} + V_{(q)} = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \hbar\omega$$

619

620 The same result comes by the calculation of the eigenvalues that read

621
$$\begin{aligned} E_n &= \langle \psi_n | H | \psi_n \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_{(q,t)}^* H^{op} \psi_{(q,t)} dq \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi|^2 \left[H_{(q,t)} + V_{qu}^n \right] dq \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} n_{(q,t)} \left[\frac{m}{2} \dot{q}^2 + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} (q - \underline{q})^2 + V_{qu}^n \right] dq \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} n_{(q,t)} \left[\frac{1}{2m} \nabla S_{(q)}^2 + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} (q - \underline{q})^2 + V_{qu}^n \right] dq \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} n_{(q,t)} \left[\frac{m\omega^2}{2} (q - \underline{q})^2 - \frac{m\omega^2}{2} (q - \underline{q})^2 + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \hbar\omega \right] dq = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \hbar\omega \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

622

623

624 where $H^{op} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} + V_{(q)}$ and where $n_{(q,t)} = \psi_{(q,t)}^* \psi_{(q,t)}$. Moreover, by applying (B.14) to

625 (A.2-A.3) it follows that

626

$$627 \quad \dot{p} = -\nabla(H + V_{qu}) = -\nabla((n + \frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega) = 0, \quad (B.15)$$

$$628 \quad \dot{q} = \frac{\nabla S_{(q,t)}}{m} = 0, \quad (B.16)$$

629 Confirming the stationary equilibrium condition of the eigenstates.

630

631 Finally, it must be noted that since all the quantum states are given by the generic linear superposition of the

632 eigenstates (owing the irrotational momentum field $m\dot{q} = 0$) it follows that all quantum states are

633 irrotational. Moreover, since the Schrödinger description is complete, do not exist others quantum irrotational

634 states in the hydrodynamic description.

635 In the relativistic case, the hydrodynamic solutions are determined by the eigenstates

636 ψ_n^+, ψ_n^- derived by the irrotational stationary equilibrium condition applied to the

637 momentum fields of matter and antimatter of equation (23), respectively .

638

639

640

641

642

Appendix C

643

The hydrodynamic HJE from the Lagrangian equation of motion

644

The identity

645

646

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^\mu} = p_\mu = \int_{t_0}^t \dot{p}_\mu dt = - \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^\mu} dt = - \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} \int_{t_0}^t L dt = - \frac{\partial S}{\partial q^\mu} \quad (C.1)$$

648

that stems from the equations (13-14), with the help of (10,12) leads to

649

650

$$\begin{aligned} p_\mu p^\mu &= \frac{\partial S}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_\mu} = \left(\frac{E^2}{c^2} - p^2 \right) \\ &= m^2 \gamma^2 c^2 \left(1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2} \right) - m^2 \gamma^2 \dot{q}^2 \left(1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2} \right) = m^2 c^2 \left(1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (C.2)$$

652

that is the hydrodynamic HJE (1)

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial q^\mu} \frac{\partial S}{\partial q_\mu} = m^2 c^2 \left(1 - \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2 c^2} \frac{\partial_\mu \partial^\mu |\psi|}{|\psi|} \right). \quad (C.3)$$

654

655

656

657

Appendix D

658

The quantum potential in the region of space $R_0 < r \equiv R_g$ with $R_0 \rightarrow R_g$

659

The balance between the quantum force and the gravitational one reads

660

661

$$\frac{du_\mu}{ds} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{\lambda\kappa}}{\partial q^\mu} u^\lambda u^\kappa - u_\mu \frac{d}{ds} \left(\ln \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial q^\mu} \left(\ln \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \right) = 0 \quad (D.1)$$

663

that by inserting the stationary condition (44) leads to

664

665

$$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{00}}{\partial q^1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q^1} \left(\ln \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \right) \quad (D.2)$$

667

that in the vacuum space, for $r > R_0$, leads to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial q^1} \left(\ln \sqrt{1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2}} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \left(1 - \frac{R_g}{r} \right)}{\partial q^1} \quad (D.3)$$

670

and to

671

672

$$1 - \frac{V_{qu}}{mc^2} = \exp \left[- \left(1 - \frac{R_g}{r} \right) + C_n \right] \quad r > R_0 \quad (D.4)$$

674
675

that gives

$$676 \quad V_{qu} = mc^2 \left(1 - \exp \left[- \left(1 - \frac{R_g}{r} \right) + C_n \right] \right) \quad r > R_0 . \quad (D.5)$$

677 Since $R_0 \leq R_g$ and since that for the minimum allowable mass we have that

678

$$679 \quad R_0 \rightarrow R_g , \quad (D.6)$$

680

681 for $R_0 < r \leq R_g$, it follows that

682

$$683 \quad mc^2 \left(1 - \exp[C_n] \exp \left[- \left(1 - \frac{R_g}{R_0} \right) \right] \right) < V_{qu} \leq mc^2 (1 - \exp[C_n]) \quad (D.7.a)$$

$$684 \quad mc^2 \left(1 - \exp \left[C_n \left(1 + \left(\frac{R_g - R_0}{R_0} \right) \right) \right] \right) < V_{qu} \leq mc^2 (1 - \exp[C_n]) \quad (D.7.b)$$

685

686 Moreover, since we are searching for the state with maximum mass concentration and hence with maximum
687 quantum potential) from (D.7.b) it follows that this condition is achieved for $\exp[C_n] = 0$ and, hence, for

688 $C_n = -\infty$, that leads to

689

$$690 \quad V_{qu} \cong mc^2 .. \quad (D.8)$$

691

692 Moreover, for $r = R_g + \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon \ll R_g$ it follows that

693

$$694 \quad \frac{mV_{qu}}{\hbar^2} = \frac{1}{|\psi| r^2} \partial^1 r^2 \left(\left(\frac{R_g}{r} - 1 \right) \partial_1 |\psi| \right) \cong \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \quad (D.9)$$

695

696