



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_29472
Title of the Manuscript:	DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION OF ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT SECURITY LOCK SYSTEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Although I think that the subject addressed by the article is interesting, But, i do not see its applicability in electronic security field. However, as its relevance as a scientific article, it is necessary that the authors make some corrections. The main one is in relation to topics Results and Discursions also in conclusion. Where in any job, be it practical applicability or not, always will require a confrontation these the results with the results of other literature reference and parameter, which does not occur with the article submitted, where the results are considered escelentes, but compared to what?	It has application in security field. Password based systems have wide range of applications which include door lock, bank safe, car door locks etc., all these work with same principle of using a secret code that not known to unauthorized user (denying them access to the facilities you are safeguarding). This Central Electronic Equipment Security Lock System is one of them. However, the other areas observed have been improved
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	In my opinion, as the equations and their applications, especially in relation to mathematical calculations, they should be put together in the appendix; and only the results should be reported in the main text (eg the calculation of peak voltage (V_{peak}) the number of line 132). But this is repeated from the number (100 to 174). We miss the software algorithm used in microcontroller programming. The same should have been placed in the appendix together with commented results, in addition to detailing what it presents improvement over others that perform the same function.	Noted and corrected Regarding the software algorithm used in the programming written in assembly language, the code (Hex file) is too bulky (over 70 pages on font size 10 and single line spacing) to be included in the publication. However as prove of originality, the flow chart is hereby included in the appendix.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The number of lines 253-255 could be replaced by the phrase "Averenge all of the variance (Table 4) = 0.005 min."	corrected