



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_28935
Title of the Manuscript:	The electrodynamic vacuum Field theory approach and the Electron inertia problem revisited
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>I have now read the paper: "The electrodynamic vacuum Field theory approach and the Electron inertia problem revisited."</p> <p>The manuscript is 64 pages and 254 equations long. There is much new material and I think it will be suitable for publication after a revision.</p> <p>The English is not good. There are many very long sentences with the words in an unusual succession. I attach the manuscript with several suggestions for changes in the Language.</p>	<p>All his remarks, notations and suggestions were taken into account when preparing the revision.</p> <p>I am very indebted to the Referee for his so scrutinized reviewing this work, especially for his help in improving the English of the manuscript.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		