



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_PSIJ_28829</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Determining Global Solar Radiation Incident on Tilted Surfaces with Different Tilt Angles at the Department Of Physics Makerere University</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Remove equations from abstract. Six months data is insufficient to predict global solar radiation. Give reference of all the equations. Reduce conclusions and delete eq from this section	<b><i>All the corrections suggested, have been identified and put in order and highlighted with a yellow colour in the original manuscript</i></b>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		<b><i>None</i></b>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	I have reviewed this type of paper having different empirical models but same pattern	<b><i>This is our stepping stone. As we analysed six, then 3 years, therefore we are intending to analyse for 5 years and then finally for 10 years still to validity the same equations. Otherwise thank you for that identification.</i></b>