



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_28111
Title of the Manuscript:	GROSS ALPHA AND BETA ACTIVITY CONCENTRATIONS IN LOCALLY PROCESSED SALT FROM EBONYI STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound, contains relevant and novelty information.2. The data are well controlled and robust.3. The references cited are current and relevant, but is very important to include some other references particularly relative to the method.4. Is necessary to explain to detail the method at the MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY section.5. Review the grammar and syntax in the redaction6. Maps, figures and images should be showed in a high resolution format.	We have taken care of these corrections and highlighted them with yellow colours.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		