



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_28096
Title of the Manuscript:	Models of Extra Dimensions and Searches for KK Modes at the LHC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I have made minor comments such as: As an author and reviewer, I prefer to remove all of the initial symbols without explain them first. For example in the title, KK is Kaluza-Klein. Who knows that? This involve LHC, ADD and so on... It is poor to do this in the title and the abstract. What is illogical is that the author mentions three separate models used to validate his views. This should be addressed in the introduction to at least write a line or two for each of the models. Why even mention the three models in the abstract but simply say 'tree models were examined'... Line 59 The equation has a period which means what? [Comments received from mail]	I changed the title according to the notion. The abbreviations LHC, ADD, RS, KK are deciphered in the text at the first appearance. Also KK is changed to Kaluza-Klein in abstract. The term LHC is well known to the scientific community. Each of the models RS1, ADD are presented in the introduction. I also included TeV^{-1} sized extra dimension model. Ω_{AB} is a Calabi–Yau metric
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		