

Comments of Editor:

Some parts still need to be revised

1). All the references are not correct without the “title of publication” information. The authors did not provide any ‘title’ information of the citation, which makes the readers unable to follow which citation exactly is referred. The authors should revise all references before publication. For example, in reference 8, there are only the author information, the publisher, year of publication and page number. Where is the title of the reference? How the readers know which paper is referred?

“8. Chu S., Wong S // Phys. Rev. Lett. 1982. V.48. P. 738-741.”

Please add more detailed information about each citation.

“8. Chu S., Wong S, “Title of publication”, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1982. V.48. P. 738-741.”

2). Some typos, like “6. Conclusion”. As suggested by one reviewer, “Entire manuscript to be read carefully and linguistically get improved.”

Authors Feedback:

1). In the all references I add the “title of publication”.

2). I tried to read carefully all manuscript and performed many corrections (see yellow colour).