



Manuscript Number: <b>SDI Review Form 1.6</b>	<b>Ms_PSIJ_26143</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Fermi problem and superluminal signals in quantum electrodynamics</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original research paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> <b>REVISION comments</b>		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Article describes a study towards the calculation using the conventional methods in Heisenberg and Schrödinger representations in non-stationary problems lead to different results. The Schrödinger representation predicts the existents of specified quantum superluminal signals. In Heisenberg representation the superluminal signals are absent. The reason of non-identity of representations is close connected with using of the adiabatic hypothesis.</p> <p><b>Authors are required to add / amend followings:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Entire manuscript to be read carefully and linguistically get improved.</li> <li>• References are to be cited chronically</li> </ul> <p><b>CONCLUSION</b> <b>It is suggested that conclusion section is to be written:</b> specific about results and also point wise. No references are to be cited in the conclusion sub-section.</p>	<p>The manuscript is read carefully and is improved.</p> <p>References are placed in the row they are appeared in the text.</p> <p>All references in conclusion are excluded.</p>
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Article is properly structured and recommended for publication after incorporating above suggestions.	