



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_PSIJ_25894</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The four aspects of matter and radiation</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Personal pronouns in the Abstract and in the main text are to be removed or reframed to eschew its usage. Eg. I, we'll say, we may write,</b></p> <p><b>Also usage of 'In this paper' may be avoided.</b></p>	<p>I reframed personal pronouns in the Abstract but it's quite difficult to avoid them in the main text. How can I eschew using expressions like "we'll say" or "we may write" and yet keeping a certain lightness in writing? It seems to me that the usage of such expressions is quite common in scientific literature, isn't it?</p> <p>I couldn't find "In this paper" anywhere; but I eliminated "In this article" at the beginning of the Abstract.</p> <p>I also replaced "Dirac equation agrees with Special Relativity" for "<u>Dirac equation agrees with the proposed Quadrivalent Special Relativity</u>"; it is more correct.</p> <p>In the Introduction, I thought about to replace "I proposed the theory of Pseudotachyonic Relativity (PtR)" for "the theory of Pseudotachyonic Relativity (PtR) has been proposed"; but then all the following argument would be inconsistent since it refers to a personal struggle with several issues and also some real or apparent contradictions.</p> <p>[Some conclusions of this struggle are beyond the scope of this article, so they don't figure here.]</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	N/A	



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Thanks for the opportunity to read through the paper. The Author has satisfied the points raised in the Abstract.	