



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_25255
Title of the Manuscript:	Energy Management Optimizing in Energy Hub with Regard to Pollution and Storage Effects
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Author must upgrade the abstract in right way.2. Simulation results should be explained precisely.3. Paper needs implementation part explanation with flow chart If possible.	At first, I thank you for these comments. Again, I recheck the simulation results' paper and English text exactly. I remove most of cases which was mentioned.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Author will have to write explanation about CHP.2. Author should incorporate latest references and also increase the number of standard references.	I did this comment in text's paper.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		