SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	**Physical Science International Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_PSIJ_24645**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Preliminary Research For Enabling Intelligent Focus For 3D Imaging**
Type of Article	**Original Research Article**

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
There are still issues with the English grammar. I recommend the following corrections to the text: 1. In the abstract, the authors might want to say: 'The tin ball samples and the samples of influenza virus were viewed with the Dual Focused Ion Beam/ Scanning Electron Microscope (FIB/SEM). [...] The analysis of these images was carried out by measuring the Derivative Sharpness Function using the Digital Micrograph software: the tin ball samples provided perfect results when a pixel difference of 1 was utilized and less accurate results for a pixel difference of 20. Also, a defocus increment of 0.01mm was better than a defocus image at 0.001mm displacement.' 2. On line 30, I recommend saying '(depending on operator's desire)'. 3. On line 81, I suggest saying '. The images in Figure 2(e) and 2(f) further illustrate ...' 4. On line 134, I recommend: 'The images of Fig. 3b and 3c show traces of the tin ball samples while the DSF image in-focus does not.' 5. On line 156, I suggest: 'Figures 4a and 4b show...'	1. I have noted the grammatical errors in the abstract and have implemented the recommendation of the final evaluator. You can notice that in the paper. 2. I have corrected the error 3.I have corrected the error 4. I agree with the final evaluator and I have implemented the correction. 5. I have corrected the error.