



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_24645
Title of the Manuscript:	Preliminary Research For Enabling Intelligent Focus For 3D Imaging
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. There are some major issues with the written English. Please consider re-writing the abstract and closely edit the rest of the manuscript as well.</p> <p>2. The introduction must be expanded a lot. It is not obvious why the work presented in this paper is needed, what it is already known in this field and what the contribution of this work really is.</p> <p>3. The only equation in the paper must be re-written using an equation toolbox since it is very hard to read. Also, the equation must be explained. For instance, what is f here?</p> <p>4. How does the proposed method compare with the commonly used methods?</p> <p>5. It is not obvious how this method performs 3D imaging. Please explain and show results from other views.</p>	<p>1. The abstract has been edited and re-written.</p> <p>2. The introduction has also been expanded and major reason why the work is needed has equally been elaborated.</p> <p>3. The equation has been re-written with the use of the equation tool bar. The variables used in the mathematical equation have been redefined.</p> <p>4. This a novel method.</p> <p>5. How this method is used to develop 3D images from 2D SEM images was explained in the write up added to the introduction.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>There are numerous places in the paper which appear to be copied and pasted which do not read well, please correct. Fig. 6 is meaningless so it could be removed. I recommend replacing 'research' and 'project'</p>	<p>Fig. 6 explains why when the method Derivative sharpness function method did not work for the canine kidney cells in contrast to the tin ball samples.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	throughout the paper by 'paper' (since this is intended to be a published paper and not a research proposal or project).	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		