

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_23242
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate Sensitivity Parameter in the Test of the Mount Pinatubo Eruption
Type of the Article	Original Research Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments		
	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Although, Manuscript is interesting and finds new results, but Authors are required to add / amend followings:</p> <p>INTRODUCTION</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Objectives and Symbols may be removed & Nomenclature be added after Abstract & Keywords The Mount Pinatubo eruption it may be kept under 1.1 The Mount Pinatubo eruption Earlier studies may be sub-titled Literature Study <p>Order of 4. Conclusion and 5. Discussion be changed as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Results and Discussion Conclusion 	<p>I prefer to keep the structure of Introduction. Sometimes reviewers want to see clearly defined objectives, and that is why I want to show them in a special subsection.</p> <p>Personally I find it always a good option to have a separate table for symbols and acronyms even though they are explained in a text as they appear the first time. It is difficult to find sometimes in a long text, where is the explanation for a symbol.</p> <p>I change the subsection name to be Literature Study.</p> <p>I change the order of sections 4 and 5 to be as suggested by the reviewer.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Article should be properly structured as stated above.	