



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_24426
Title of the Manuscript:	Active-Reactive Power Stability Analysis a Micro Grid in Grid to Connected Mode Based on Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) Including Model Information
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>This paper is from the area of Swarm Intelligence and mainly concerned with the power flow between main grid and micro grid with two parallel DG units.</b></p> <p><b>Before I go any forward there is no need to separate the abstract into different parts. An abstract should consist of a brief idea about all parts of the paper but there is no need to divide it into parts. A 10 to 15 line abstract with keywords would suffice.</b></p>	Your opinion is correct, but I have written abstract according to the journal format abstract.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The diagrams and math part of the paper are good and the proposed algorithm has been simulated and visualised nicely. The conclusion is also OK.</b></p>	Thank you for your pleasure. As well as I recheck simulation result and conclusion for more detailed explanations
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	NONE	