



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_24426
Title of the Manuscript:	Active-Reactive Power Stability Analysis a Micro Grid in Grid to Connected Mode Based on Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) Including Model Information
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Attempt of the author is good but he couldn't find any significance role of their research work.2. It is not a new work in the Grid to Connected Mode Based on Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) for Active-Reactive Power Stability Analysis.3. Reviewed papers are not enough for this work.4. There are so many grammatical mistakes in this paper.	My paper is not just about in the grid to connected mode based on particle swarm optimization (PSO) for active-reactive power stability analysis, but also, as well as, it is a new work in Power flow control in grid-connected micro-grid operation using PSO. I add new two references to text of my paper. I add more explanation about my simulation results.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		