



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Applied Science & Technology</u>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_23357
Title of the Manuscript:	Coupling of Laplace Transform and Differential Transform for Wave Equations
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>(1) In the abstract the author(s) mentioned that “the proposed technique gives excellent accuracy”, although in the 4 example, he presented, he came to the closed form solution!! We need to see application to complicated problem and see degree of accuracy.</p> <p>(2) In the table of some basic formula, in page 2, there is a mistake in the fifth row in the column of transformed function.</p> <p>(3) In the conclusion, the author(s) mentioned that “The Laplace transform method is more effective and convenient than other methods”!! which is general statement and is not applied to all problems only for linear differential equation and the author(s) did not show that in the 4 problems!!</p> <p>(4) The author(s) should refer to the following 2 articles:</p> <p>(i) Applied Math Modelling 32 (2008) 2552-2559.</p> <p>(ii) Int. Communications in Heat and Mass Transfer 38 (2011) 815 – 820.</p>	<p>I have gone through all the suggestions pointed out and corrected them as well.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	The author(s) should revise the English language in the paper.	
Optional/General comments	The paper is not of high quality as research paper, it is just a good exercise.	