SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Physical Science International Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_PSIJ_14201**
Title of the Manuscript:	**A natural solution to the dark energy problem**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	None, this is an excellent manuscript that is thought provoking, contemporary and very original.	
Minor REVISION comments	Page 2, should the reference be to orders of magnitude not order?	
Optional/General comments	The mathematics and thinking is very compelling. Didn't you ever wonder why the critical density that you obtained as well as the Lambda CDM model is varies only by about 2pi or 4 pi from the Eddington assumption of 1 proton per m^-3? Also, what is the actual correlation coefficient between your imaginary and real curve in Figure 3. The implications if the two are strongly negative are very significant.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Anonymous**
Department, University & Country	**Canada**