

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_15652
Title of the Manuscript:	Discussion of Time and Tide: analysis of sea level time series
Type of the Article	Commentary

# **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SCIENCEDOMAIN international



# SDI Review Form 1.6

## PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul> <li>The author succeeded to present a thoroughly discussion in a vital field of time and tide analysis of see level. The used references are highly relevant and findings are acceptable. However, the following minor notes should be considered:</li> <li>Some abbreviations are stated within the text without previous illustrations. For example: IPCC, PSMSL, SONEL. The author should write: "that contrary to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, IPCC claim,'</li> <li>Some references should be typed otherwise. The following are some examples of these: <ul> <li>a. <u>In Sec. 1 – 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph</u>: you should write: "as evidenced by Carter et al. (2014)" instead of: "as evidenced by Carter et al; 2014".</li> <li>b. <u>In Sec. 1 – 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph</u>: you should write: (e.g. Holgate, 2007; Chambers et al., 2012; Marcos et al., 2012; Soon &amp; Legates, 2013; Parker et al., 2013).</li> <li>c. <u>In Sec. 1 – 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph</u>: you should write: (e.g. Pugh, 2004; Yndestad et al., 2008)</li> <li>d. <u>In Sec. 2 – 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph</u>: write "in many papers as Parker et al. (2013).</li> <li>f. <u>In Sec. 2 – 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph</u>: replace as (Parker et al., 2013; Parker, 2014).</li> <li>g. <u>In Sec. 3 – the top of page 4</u>; replace the refer. as</li> </ul></li></ul>	,

### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



# SDI Review Form 1.6

	<ul> <li>(Parker et al., 2013; Parker, 2014)</li> <li>In Sec. 1 – the top of page 2: there is a type in error. Write as "The 60-years cycle recorded in solar activity and earth rotation <u>affects</u> the oceanic".</li> <li>In Sec. 2 – Methodology: You state that "the simple mathematics has been proposed in many papers as Parker et al. (2013)". I suggest to mention other references since you held your discussion based on Equations 1 – 3 in that unique mentioned reference.</li> </ul>	
Optional/General comments	Linear fitting models are used by the author, but what about using least square adjustment models and compare the results.	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Maher A. El-Hallaq
Department, University & Country	Surveying and Geodesy, Civil Engineering Department, The Islamic University of Gaza, Gaza, Palestine