



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_14847
Title of the Manuscript:	Corrosion and its Control in Agricultural Facilities and Equipment
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Carry out the corrections as indicated below in red colour. Line 26 The atmospheric behaviour of metals in wet conditions and in soil differs. Therefore, Line 159 mixture of silage effluent and slurry, a dangerous concentration of hydrogen sulphide gas can Line 175 Serious waterline attack may occur on steel drums containing aqueous TCA(sodium trichloroacetate) Line 205 tensile stress and temperatures above about 70 °C [2, 15]. It is not Line 212 In a recent survey of farmers and horticulturists, it was found that, corrosion damage to Line 252 <i>Jarrah</i> and teak could last for over 50 years.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	More references can be included in 2.2 sub division References given at the end can be aligned properly as shown below References [1] Augustin MA, Šcerbejova M, Anti corrosive efficiency of preservatives for farming 333 machinery. <i>Res. Agr. Eng.</i> 2003; 49 (1):22-26.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	India