Original Research Article APROXIMATIONS IN DIVISIBLE GROUPS: PART I

3 4

6

7

8

9

1

2

5 ABSTRACT

We prove a general Dirichlet-type approximation theorem in the setting of Cauchy sequences in normed divisible groups. Essentially, we demonstrate that the concept of approximation exponents are extendable to elements belonging to the completion of a normed uniquely-divisible group, where the approximation is given in terms of quasi-order functions on the pre-complete group.

10 11 12

KEYWORDS: Divisible Groups, Cauchy Sequences, Group Norms, Proximity Functions

131415

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The study of group theory naturally leads to problem of finding elements of a group that belongs to cyclic subgroups of the group. It is easy to see that there are groups for which some elements do not belong to any cyclic subgroup other than those generated by the elements; for instance, a prime number does not belong to any cyclic subgroup of the multiplicative group of rational numbers other than that generated by the prime itself. Tostudythegroups for which every element belongs a cyclic group generated by some other element, the notion of divisible groups are important. To be precise, a divisible group is a group (G, \cdot) such that for every $g \in G$ and natural number n there is an $h \in G$ such that $g = h^n := h \cdot h^{n-1}$ —we shall informally say that G has n-th roots for all n. Classically, divisible groups appeared in the theory of Abelian groups; in particular, every Abelian group can be naturally embedded in an Abelian divisible group and an Abelian group is divisible if and only if it is an injective object in the category of Abelian groups (Griffith (1970), Feigelstock (2006), Lang (1984)); moreover in the Abelian, or generally locally nilpotent, torsion-free case Malcev (1949), every divisible group is auniquely divisible group: that is, $g^n = h^n$ implies g = h. In any case, non-trivial Abelian divisible groups are not finitely generated, which is easily demonstrable via the Fundamental Theorem of Finitely-generated Abelian groups, and uniquely divisible groupsarenecessarily torsion free. A foremost example is the group of rational numbers Q under addition. In another but similar vein, given a prime numberp, a pdivisible group is a group with p-th roots. We extend this further to a subset ϖ of the prime numbers by defining ϖ -divisible groups as groups with p-throots for all pin ϖ (this is not standard, for instance Baumslag (1958) calls these E_{ϖ} -groups); when ϖ is the whole of the primes, then we get the divisible groups. The archetypal examples are the additive subgroups of \mathbb{Q} given by $\mathbb{Q}\{\varpi\} = \{q \in \mathbb{Q}: p \mid D(q) \Rightarrow p \in \mathbb{Q}\}$

 ϖ }where D(q) is the denominator of q.We say a group is uniquely ϖ -divisible if it is $a\varpi$ -divisible group with unique roots. As a further example, if ϖ is all of the prime numbers, then a vector space over a field of characteristic k is a well-defined uniquely $\varpi \setminus \{k\}$ -divisible group; this latter example shows that uniquely ϖ -divisible groups can be cyclic groups, torsion groupsor finitely generated groups, in contradistinction to uniquely divisible Abelian groups (the finite fields, being or prime characteristics, are such examples).

2.0 SALIENT EXPOSITION ON NOTATIONANDMOTIVATION

Now given a ϖ -divisiblegroup(G,·), henceforward the notation g^r , where $r \in \mathbb{Q}\{\varpi\}$ and $g \in G$, shall denote (one of possibly many elements) $h \in G$ such that $g^n = h^d$ where r = n/d withgcd(n, d) = 1;in particular, g^r represents a unique element in G if G is a uniquely ϖ -divisible group. Now if we denote by $|\cdot|$: $\mathbb{Q}\{\varpi\} \to \mathbb{R}$ an absolute value function from $\mathbb{Q}\{\varpi\}$ to the real numbers \mathbb{R} , then Ostrowski (1916)showed that $|\cdot|$ is, up to equivalence, the usual absolute value $|\cdot|_{\infty}$ on the real numbers or the usual absolute value $|\cdot|_p$ on the p-adic numbers for a prime p. When $|\cdot| := |\cdot|_{\infty}$, we have the following classical elementary but important result:

THEOREM 2.1:Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for some $\mu > 1$, there is an infinite sequence $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{Q}$ so that $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ if and only if $0 < |\alpha - r_n| = O((\operatorname{ord}(r_n \mod \mathbb{Z}))^{-\mu})$.

Here $\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}$ is the complement of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R} —that is, the irrational numbers; the notation x=O(y) implies $|x|\leq My$ for some absolute constant M>0; also, ord $(h\in H)$ denotes the order (or period) of an element h in the group H and \mathbb{Z} denotes the set of integers (thus, ord $(r_n \mod \mathbb{Z})$ gives denominator of r_n). Dirichlet proved that in fact with the implied constant being M=1, the theorem holds with $\mu\geq 2$; the optimal situation occurs when $M=1/\sqrt{5}$ (see Hurwitz (1891)) still with $\mu\geq 2$. An important remark is that the sequence $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in the Theorem above is a Cauchy sequence, therefore Theorem 2.1 equally states that there are no Cauchy sequences converging inside \mathbb{Q} with the given estimate. The object of this paper is to extend the "if" part of the above theorem to uniquely ϖ -divisible groups G and their completions via norms, with the estimates measured in terms of quasi-order functions on G. We address the "only if" part in a sequel to this paper. First, we define our main functions:

DEFINITION 2.2(Norm on ϖ -Divisible Groups): For a set of primes ϖ , let (G,\cdot) be a ϖ -divisible group with identity element*e* and let $|\cdot|$: $\mathbb{Q}\{\varpi\} \to \mathbb{R}$ be an absolute value function. Then a function $||\cdot||$: $G \to \mathbb{R}$ is a *norm*on G if it satisfies:

- 77 i. ||g|| = 0 only if g = e78 ii. $||gh|| \le ||g|| + ||h||$
- 79 iii. $||g^r|| = |r| ||g||, r \in \mathbb{Q}\{\varpi\}$

- We denote by $(G, \cdot, \|\cdot\|)$ a ϖ -divisible group with a norm $\|\cdot\|$. If G is Abelian, then it is just a normed linear space but over the integral domain $\mathbb{Q}\{\varpi\}$. Indeed if $(G, +, \|\cdot\|)$ is a normed vector space over a field \mathbb{F} , then $|\cdot|$ is the well-defined absolute value function induced by the absolute value function on \mathbb{F} over the vector space.
- DEFINITION 2.3 (Proximity Function on Groups):Let G be agroup with identity e. Then a function $g: G \setminus \{e\} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a proximity function on G iffor all $g \neq h$:
- 86 i. $\varrho(g \neq e) = \varrho(g^{-1}) > 0$
- 87 ii. $\varrho(gh^{-1}) \le C\varrho(g)\varrho(h)$
- 88 iii. $\varrho(gh^{-1}) \le C\varrho(g)$ if $\varrho(g) = \varrho(h)$
- where C > 0 is an absolute constant. If in (ii) we have the stronger bound
- 90 $\varrho(gh^{-1}) \le C \max{\{\varrho(g), \varrho(h)\}}$, then we say ϱ is an ultra-metric proximity
- 91 function. Especially, if ϱ is integer-valued with C=1 and that (ii) and (iii)
- 92 $\operatorname{read}\varrho(gh^{-1})|\operatorname{lcm}(\varrho(g),\varrho(h))$ and $\varrho(gh^{-1})|\varrho(g)$ if $\varrho(g)=\varrho(h)$ respectively,
- 93 then we say ϱ is an *order function*.
- We shall typify a proximity function by gwith the constant C understood. Obviously
- 95 the product of two proximity functions is a proximity function; and also if ϱ is a
- proximity function, then so is ϱ^{μ} for any real number $\mu > 0$; thus we say two
- proximity functions ϱ_1, ϱ_2 are *equivalent* if $\varrho_1 = \varrho_2^{\mu}$ for some $\mu > 0$.

Examples 2.4:

98

99

100101

104

105

106

107108109

110

- For Abelian torsion groups G, the function $\varrho(\cdot) := \operatorname{ord}(\cdot)$ is anorder function with C = 1.
- For groups with ultra-metric norms $\|\cdot\|$, the functions $\varrho(\cdot) := \|\cdot\|$ and $\varrho(\cdot) := \alpha^{\|\cdot\|}$, where $\alpha \ge 1$ is real, are ultra-metric proximity functions with C = 1.
 - For groups with bounded norms—that is, $\|\cdot\| \le M$ with M fixed—the function $\varrho(\cdot) := \alpha^{\|\cdot\|-M}$, where $\alpha \ge 1$ is real, is a proximity function with $C = \alpha^M$.
 - If G is the additive group of an algebraic number field, then the absolute Weil height $h(\cdot) := \prod_{v \ place} \max\{1, |\cdot|_v\}$ is a proximity function with C = 2.
- 111 We shall be interested in those proximity functions ϱ on $(G,\cdot, \|\cdot\|)$ such that for some $\mu_0 > 0$ the function $\varrho(\cdot)^{\mu_0} \|\cdot\| : G \setminus \{e\} \to \mathbb{R}$ is, in essence, discontinuous at the identity precisely,
- DEFINITION 2.5 (Proximity Function on ϖ -Divisible Groups): Let $(G,\cdot, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed ϖ -divisible group with identity e and let ϱ be a proximity function on G.

 Then ϱ issaid to be a *close proximity* function on G if there exists $a\mu_0 > 0$ such

- that $\inf\{\varrho(g_n)^{\mu}||g_n||\}=0$ for some null sequence $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\subset G\setminus\{e\}$ if and only if
- 118 $\mu < \mu_0$; otherwise, theng is said to be an *openproximity* function on G.
- 119 REMARKS: Otherwise stated, $\inf\{\varrho(g_n)^{\mu}\|g_n\|\}>0$ for all null sequences $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\subset$
- 120 $G\setminus\{e\}$ if and only $\inf \mu \geq \mu_0$. We typify a close proximity function on G by
- (ϱ ; C, μ_0) and in that case we shall say that the elements in G are in close proximit
- 122 y(or in close order) to each other; else, where necessary, we shall say the elements
- arein open proximity(resp. in open order)to each other.
- Our interest in close proximity functions on normed ϖ -divisible groups is
- the following result, which is the main theorem of this paper:
- **THEOREM 2.6**: Let $(\varrho; C, \mu_0)$ be a close proximity function on (G, μ_0) and
- 127 let $g \in G$. Then for every $\mu > \mu_0$ and Cauchy sequence $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset G \setminus$
- 128 $\{g,e\}$ converging to g, there exists N such that $\|gg_n^{-1}\| = O(\varrho(g_n)^{-\mu})$ if and only if
- 129 $n \leq N$, where the implied constant is independent of n or g; moreover, this is also
- 130 true for $\mu = \mu_0$ if gis ultra-metric and the implied constant is less than
- 131 $\frac{1}{C^{\mu_0}} \inf_{g \neq g_n} \{ \varrho(gg_n^{-1})^{\mu_0} || gg_n^{-1} || \}.$
- In other words, there are only finitely many elements of G in close proximity to any
- element in G with respect to the given estimates; or equivalently, Cauchy sequences
- in G do not converge inside G with respect to the given estimates.

135

136

3.0 PRELIMINARYRESULTS

- We establish here some elementary but noteworthy properties of normed ϖ -
- divisible groups endowed with close proximity functions. We also state some close
- proximity functions on certain ϖ -divisible groups butfirst, we prove the following:
- **COROLLARY 3.1**: Every normed ϖ -divisible Abelian group is a uniquely ϖ -
- 141 divisible group.
- 142 **Proof:** Indeed, for some $g \neq h$ suppose $g^n = h^n$ where n > 1 is a natural number
- whose prime divisors belong tow. Then $g^n h^{-n} = (gh^{-1})^n = e$, thus

$$|n||gh^{-1}|| = ||(gh^{-1})^n|| = ||e|| = 0$$

- But $|n| \neq 0$ and so $||gh^{-1}|| = 0$, implying $gh^{-1} = e$ or g = h, a contradiction. **QED**
- 145 **COROLLARY 3.2:** Any normed ϖ -divisible group is non-cyclic and torsion-free.
- 146 Proof: Let $\{g \neq e\}$ generate the group. Then $g^{1/p} = g^n$ for some $p \in \varpi$ and integer
- nand so $g^{pn-1} = e$, implying that g is a torsion element. But if $h \neq e$ is a torsion
- element with $h^r = e$ for some $r \neq 0$, then $0 = ||e|| = ||h^r|| = |r|||h||$. It follows

- that ||h|| = 0 or h = e, which is a contradiction. Thus there are no torsion elements.**QED**
- **COROALLRY 3.3**: Let $(G,\cdot,\|\cdot\|)$ be a normed ϖ -divisible group and let \hat{G} be
- its completion with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. Then $\hat{G} \ni \lim_{n\to\infty} g^{r_n}$ where $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{Q}\{\varpi\}$
- 153 converges in the completion of $\mathbb{Q}\{\varpi\}$ with respect to the absolute value $|\cdot|$
- 154 associated to $\|\cdot\|$.
- 155 *Proof:* First, let $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{Q}\{\varpi\}$, then for any $g \in G$ we have $\{g^{r_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset G$. Thus

$$||g^{r_n} \cdot (g^{r_m})^{-1}|| = ||g^{r_n - r_m}|| = ||g|||r_n - r_m||$$

- Consequently, the sequence $\{g^{r_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in \hat{G} with respect to (the natural
- metric induced by) the norm $\|\cdot\|$ if the sequence $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in the completion
- of $\mathbb{Q}\{\overline{\omega}\}$ with respect to (the natural metric induced by) the absolute value $|\cdot|$. **QED**
- **COROLLARY 3.4**: Let $(\varrho; C, \mu_0)$ be a close proximity function on $(G, ||\cdot||)$.
- 160 Then there exists an absolute constant $L_o > 0$ such that $\liminf_{n \to 0} \varrho(g_n)^{\mu_0} ||g_n|| \ge$
- 161 L_0 for every null sequence $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset G \setminus \{e\}$.
- 162 **Proof:** Suppose to the contrary that there exists no such absolute constant L_o .
- Indeed, then for every integer $m \ge 1$, there is a null sequence $\{g_n(m)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset G \setminus \{e\}$
- such that $\lim \inf_{n\to\infty} \varrho(g_n(m))^{\mu_0} ||g_n(m)|| < 1/m$. It follows that for every mthere
- are infinitely $\max_m h_m \in \{g_n(m)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ so that } \varrho(h_m)^{\mu_0} ||h_m|| < 1/m$. But since
- 166 $\{g_n(m)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{g_n(m+1)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are null sequences, then we can choose h_{m+1}
- such that $||h_{m+1}|| < ||h_m||$. It then implies that $\{h_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a null sequence
- withinf $\{\varrho^{\mu_0}(h_m)||h_m||\}=0$, which is a contradiction to the fact that ϱ is a close
- proximity function. **QED**
- 170 COROLLARY 3.5:A close proximity function on a ϖ -divisible group induces
- 171 *close proximity functions on* $\mathbb{Q}\{\varpi\}$.
- 172 Proof:Indeed fix a non-identity element g belonging to the ϖ -divisible group G.
- Now given any null sequence $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{Q}\{\varpi\}\setminus\{0\}$ and a close proximity function ϱ
- on G, then $\{g^{r_n}\}_n^{\infty}$ is a null sequence in G and thusinf $\{\varrho(g^{r_n})\|g^{r_n}\|\} > 0$. But then
- inf $\{\varrho(g^{r_n})||g^{r_n}||\} = ||g||\inf\{\varrho(g^{r_n})|r_n|\}$. Hence if $\varrho_g(r_n) \coloneqq \varrho(g^{r_n})$ then we have
- inf $\{\varrho_q(r_n)|r_n|\}>0$, implying that ϱ_q is a close proximity function on $\mathbb{Q}\{\varpi\}$. Since
- we can do same for every non-identity element g in G, the conclusion follows. **QED**
- As per examples we state, without verification, three closeproximity
- functions, which we puttogether in the following lemma. We shall verify these,
- alongside other close proximity functions, in a sequel to this paper
- LEMMA 3.6: The following are close proximity functions on the respective groups
- 182 *defined:*

(i) Suppose the absolute value function associated to the normed ϖ divisible group $(G, ||\cdot||)$ is the usual one on the real numbers. Assume S is a normal subgroup of G such that the quotient group G/S is Abelian and torsion, and that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a discrete norm on S—i.e., there is anabsolute constant l suchthat $||g \in S \setminus \{e\}|| \ge l$. Then the function $\varrho_{G/S}(g) = ord(g \cdot S) \coloneqq min\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : g^n \in S\}$ is a close order function on G with $\mu_0 = 1$, C = 1; moreover, if ϖ is a singleton set then ϱ is ultra-metric. (We refer to this as a ϖ -ary order function on G).

(ii) Given a prime p and the group $\mathbb{Q}\{p\}$, then the function $\varrho_p(q \neq 0) = [p^{\lfloor \log(|q|_{\infty})/\log p \rfloor}]$ (where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ (resp. $\lceil \cdot \rceil$) denotes the floor (resp. ceiling) function and where $\lceil \cdot \rceil_{\infty}$ is the usual absolute value on the real numbers) is a close ultra-metric proximity function on $\mathbb{Q}\{p\}$ with $\mu_0 = 1$ and C = p given the usual p-adic norm on \mathbb{Q} . (We refer to this proximity function as the p-adic proximity function on $\mathbb{Q}\{p\}$).

(iii) For an algebraic number field \mathbb{K} with the usual normalised absolute values $|\cdot|_v$ over all places v such that $\prod_v |\alpha|_v = 1$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}\setminus\{0\}$, the function $\varrho_{\mathbb{K}}(\alpha) := \prod_v \max\{1, |\alpha|_v\}$ —i.e., the Weil height—is a close proximity function on \mathbb{K}^+ with $\mu_0 = 1$ and C = 2 given the normal placed by the usual absolute value on the complex numbers. (We shall refer to this as the \mathbb{K} -proximity function).

EXAMPLE 3.7: A particular example of case (i) above is given by $G = \mathbb{Q}\{\varpi\}$ and $S = \mathbb{Z}$, where the function $\varrho_{G/S}$ is a close order function on $\mathbb{Q}\{\varpi\}$ given the usual norm on the real numbers. Indeed $|n \in \mathbb{Z}| \geq 1$ and so $|\cdot|$ is discrete on \mathbb{Z} . On the other hand, a non-example is given by $G = \mathbb{Q}_{\varpi}^{\times}$, the multiplicative group of (the positive real values of the) $\mathbb{Q}\{\varpi\}$ -powers of the positive rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}_{>0} \coloneqq S$ with norm $\|\cdot\| \coloneqq |\log(\cdot)|$ —that is, $\mathbb{Q}_{\varpi}^{\times} \coloneqq \{q^r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \colon q \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}, r \in \mathbb{Q}\{\varpi\}\}$. Here the so-defined ϖ -ary order function $\varrho_{G/S}$ is an open order function on $\mathbb{Q}_{\varpi}^{\times}$. This is so, obviously, as the norm is not a discrete normon $\mathbb{Q}_{>0}$; indeed, for instance, $\{1+\frac{1}{n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ and yet $\left|\log\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)\right| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

4.0 PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

We now establish the main resultsof this paper, culminating in the proof of the main theorem stated in the introduction. We start with the following lemma.

- **LEMMA 4.1**: Let $(\varrho; C, \mu_0)$ be aclose proximity function on $(G, \cdot, \|\cdot\|)$. Then for
- 221 every distinguished Cauchy sequence $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset G \setminus \{e\}$ (i.e., $g_n \neq \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n$ for
- 222 *all n) we have* $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varrho(g_n) = \infty$.
- 223 **Proof:** Given that $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is distinguished and Cauchy, then it contains an infinite
- subsequence of distinct elements; thus for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists N such that for
- 225 all $m, n \ge N$ where $g_m \ne g_n$ we have $0 < \|g_m g_n^{-1}\| < \varepsilon$; in that casesince $L := \infty$
- inf $\{\varrho(g_mg_n^{-1})^{\mu}||g_mg_n^{-1}||\}>$ Ofor every $\mu\geq\mu_0$, then it follows that

$$\left(C \varrho(g_m) \varrho(g_n) \right)^{\mu} \ge \varrho(g_m g_n^{-1})^{\mu} \ge \frac{\inf \varrho(g_m g_n^{-1})^{\mu} \|g_m g_n^{-1}\|}{\|g_m g_n^{-1}\|} = \frac{L}{\|g_m g_n^{-1}\|} > \frac{L}{\varepsilon}$$

- 227 $\underset{g_m \neq g_n}{\operatorname{Butlim}}_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{L}{\varepsilon} = L \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} = \infty, \quad \underset{g_m \neq g_n}{\operatorname{thuslim}}_{m,n \geq N \to \infty} \left(\mathcal{C}\varrho(g_m)\varrho(g_n) \right)^{\mu} = \infty. \quad \text{Now}$
- suppose to the contrary that $\lim\inf_{n\to\infty}\varrho(g_n)<\infty.$ It follows that there exists an
- infinite subsequence of $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, say $\{g_n^*\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, such that $\varrho(g_n^*) \leq U$ for some upper
- bound U.But since $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a distinguished Cauchy sequence, then $\{g_n^*\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is
- also a distinguished Cauchy sequence converging to the same limit, thus (by the
- same argument as above) we have $\lim_{\substack{m,n\geq N\to\infty\\ a_m^*\neq a_n^*}} \left(C\varrho(g_m^*)\varrho(g_n^*)\right)^{\mu} = \infty$. But then
- 233 given any disjoint partitions A and B of $\{g_n^*\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ —i.e. $A \cup B = \{g_n^*\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ but $A \cap B = \{g_n^*\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$
- 234 Ø—then we arrive at

$$\lim_{\substack{m,n\geq N\to\infty\\g_m^*\neq g_n^*}} \left(\mathcal{C}\varrho(g_m^*)\varrho(g_n^*)\right)^{\mu} = C^{\mu} \left(\lim_{\substack{m\geq N\to\infty\\g_m^*\in A}}\varrho(g_m^*)^{\mu}\right) \left(\lim_{\substack{n\geq N\to\infty\\g_n^*\in B}}\varrho(g_n^*)^{\mu}\right) \leq (CU^2)^{\mu}$$

- which is a contradiction to the fact that left-hand side is unbounded. Consequently,
- 236 $\lim \inf_{n\to\infty} \varrho(g_n) = \infty$ and so $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varrho(g_n) = \infty$. **QED**
- **THEOREM4.2**: Let $(\varrho; C, \mu_0)$ be a closeproximity function on $(G, \cdot, \|\cdot\|)$ with \hat{G} as
- 238 its completion. Let $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset G \setminus \{e\}$ be a Cauchy sequence converging $to\hat{g} \in \hat{G}$ so
- 239 $\frac{that0}{\|\hat{g}g_n^{-1}\|} = O(\varrho(g_n)^{-\mu})$ for all n, where $\mu > \mu_0$. Then for all sufficiently
- large m and $n, \varrho(g_m) = \varrho(g_n)$ if and only if $g_m = g_n$; moreover, this is also true for
- 241 $\mu = \mu_0$ if the implied constant is less than $\frac{1}{2c\mu_0} \inf_{g_m \neq g_n} \{ \varrho(g_m g_n^{-1})^{\mu_0} || g_m g_n^{-1} || \}.$
- 242 Proof:Let M be the implied constant in the estimate $O(\varrho(g_n)^{-\mu})$. Now from the
- 243 sub-additivity of $\|\cdot\|$, we have $\|g_m g_n^{-1}\| \le \|g_m \hat{g}^{-1}\| + \|\hat{g}g_n^{-1}\| = \|\hat{g}g_m^{-1}\| + \|\hat{g}g_m^{-1}\| = \|\hat{g}g_m^{-1}\| + \|\hat{g}g_m^{-1}\| +$
- 244 $\|\hat{g}g_n^{-1}\| \le M\varrho(g_m)^{-\mu} + M\varrho(g_n)^{-\mu}$. Let us assume that $\varrho(g_m) = \varrho(g_n)$ but that
- 245 $g_m \neq \frac{g_n.\text{Thus}}{g_m g_n^{-1}} \le 2M\varrho(g_n)^{-\mu} \text{or}$ equivalently
- 246 $\varrho(g_n)^{\mu-\mu_0}\varrho(g_n)^{\mu_0}\|g_mg_n^{-1}\| \le 2M$
- 247 $\operatorname{andsince}_{\varrho}(g_m g_n^{-1}) \le \mathcal{C}_{\varrho}(g_n) \operatorname{then}_{\varrho}(g_n)^{\mu \mu_0} (\varrho(g_m g_n^{-1})^{\mu_0} || g_m g_n^{-1} ||) \le$
- 248 $2C^{\mu_0}M$. Finally, via the bound
- 249 $\varrho(g_mg_n^{-1})^{\mu_0}\|g_mg_n^{-1}\| \ge \inf_{g_m \ne g_n} \{\varrho(g_mg_n^{-1})^{\mu_0}\|g_mg_n^{-1}\|\} \coloneqq L$, then we arrive
- 250 $\operatorname{at}\varrho(g_n)^{\mu-\mu_0} \leq \frac{1}{L} 2C^{\mu_0}M$ and as $\operatorname{such}\varrho(g_n)$ is bounded above

```
\operatorname{by}\left(\frac{1}{L}2C^{\mu_0}M\right)^{1/(\mu-\mu_0)}\operatorname{if}\mu > \mu_0\operatorname{or} \quad \operatorname{that}M \ge \frac{L}{2C^{\mu_0}}\operatorname{when}\mu = \mu_0. \quad \operatorname{Henceif} \quad \mu > \mu_0,
251
         then g_m = g_n if \varrho(g_m) = \varrho(g_n) > \left(\frac{1}{L} 2C^{\mu_0}M\right)^{1/(\mu-\mu_0)}, which latter condition holds
252
         for all sufficiently large ndue toLemma4.1; similarly if \mu = \mu_0 and M < L/2C^{\mu_0}, then
253
          necessarilyg_m = g_nif\varrho(g_m) = \varrho(g_n). Hence the proof. QED
254
                    THEOREM 4.3: Let (\varrho; C, \mu_0) be a close proximity function on (G, \cdot, \|\cdot\|) and
255
         let g \in G. Then for every \mu > \mu_0 and Cauchy sequence \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset G \setminus
256
         \{g,e\} converging to g, there exists N such that \|gg_n^{-1}\| = O(\varrho(g_n)^{-\mu}) if and only if
257
         n \leq N, where the implied constant is independent of n or g; moreover, this is also
258
         true for \mu = \mu_0 if \varrho is ultra-metric and the implied constant is less than
259
         \frac{1}{G\mu_0}\inf_{g\neq g_n}\{\varrho(gg_n^{-1})^{\mu_0}\|gg_n^{-1}\|\}.
260
         Proof:Given ||gg_n^{-1}|| \le M\varrho(g_n)^{-\mu} for some absolute constant M, then multiplying
261
         through by (\varrho(g)\varrho(g_n))^{\mu_0} gives \operatorname{us}\varrho(g_n)^{\mu-\mu_0}(\varrho(g)\varrho(g_n))^{\mu_0}||gg_n^{-1}|| \leq M\varrho(g)^{\mu_0}.
262
                                                                      hence \varrho(g_n)^{\mu-\mu_0}\varrho(gg_n^{-1})^{\mu_0}||gg_n^{-1}|| \le
         \operatorname{But}\varrho(gg_n^{-1}) \leq \mathcal{C}\varrho(g)\varrho(g_n),
263
         C^{\mu_0}M\varrho(g)^{\mu_0}. Since g \notin \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, then for some infimum L we have L \leq
264
         \varrho(gg_n^{-1})^{\mu_0} \|gg_n^{-1}\|; thus \varrho(g_n)^{\mu-\mu_0} \le C^{\mu_0} M \varrho(g_n)^{\mu_0} / L and as such for \mu > \mu_0 it
265
          follows that \varrho(g_n) is bounded above by (C^{\mu_0}M\varrho(g)^{\mu_0}/L)^{\mu_0/(\mu-\mu_0)}. Hence Lemma
266
267
          4.1 tells us that there is no distinguished Cauchy sequence \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} converging to g
         and satisfying the estimate in the lemma, so we can choose N := \max\{n: \varrho(g_n) \le 1\}
268
          (C^{\mu_0}M\rho(q)^{\mu_0}/L)^{\mu_0/(\mu-\mu_0)}.
                                                            Nowlet\mu = \mu_0
269
                                                                                             with øbeingultra-metric
                                                                    ||gg_n^{-1}|| \le M\varrho(g_n)^{-\mu_0}.
         and suppose \varrho(g_n) > \varrho(g) such that
270
         that \varrho(gg_n^{-1}) \leq C \max\{\varrho(g_n), \varrho(g)\} = C\varrho(g_n) and consequently we have L \leq C
271
         \varrho(gg_n^{-1})^{\mu_0}\|gg_n^{-1}\| \le C^{\mu_0}\varrho(g_n)^{\mu_0}\|gg_n^{-1}\| \le C^{\mu_0}M, implying that M \ge L/C^{\mu_0};
272
273
         hence if we require that M < L/C^{\mu_0}, then necessarily we must have the bound
          \varrho(g_n) \leq \varrho(g). It thus follows from Lemma 4.1 that there is no distinguished
274
         Cauchy sequence \{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} converging to g and satisfying the estimate in the
275
         Lemma. In this case we can choose N := \max\{n: \varrho(g_n) \le \varrho(g)\}. QED
276
277
```

5.0 CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we note that if a close proximity function exhibits the extra property of being uniform—that is, if there exists some absolute constant $L_\varrho>0$ such that $\varrho(g_n)^{\mu_0}\|g_n\|\geq L_\varrho$ for every null sequence $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty\subset G\setminus\{e\}$ —then the latter parts of Theorems 1 and 2 would $\text{have}\frac{1}{2c^{\mu_0}}L_\varrho$ and $\frac{1}{c^{\mu_0}}L_\varrho$ respectively instead of the terms $\frac{1}{2c^{\mu_0}}\inf_{g\neq g_n}\{\varrho(gg_n^{-1})^{\mu_0}\|gg_n^{-1}\|\}$ and $\frac{1}{c^{\mu_0}}\inf_{g\neq g_n}\{\varrho(gg_n^{-1})^{\mu_0}\|gg_n^{-1}\|\}$. In this way, the implied constants in the theorems above would be independent of n or G when $\mu=\mu_0$. We make use of this uniformity in the sequel to this paper.

286 .

278

279

280

281

282

283

284 285

REFERENCES

288	1.	Baumslag, G., (1958): Some aspects of groups with unique roots. PhD Thesis,
289		University of Manchester.
290	2.	Feigelstock, Shalom (2006): Divisible is injective, Soochow J. Math. 32 (2):
291		241–243
292	3.	Griffith, Phillip A. (1970): Infinite Abelian group theory. Chicago Lectures in
293		Mathematics. University of Chicago Press
294	4.	Hurwitz, A., (1891): Ueber die angenäherteDarstellung der Irrationalzahlen
295		durch rationale Brüche. Mathematische Annalen, 39(2): 279–284.
296	5.	Lang, S. (1984): Algebra, Second Edition. Menlo Park, California: Addison-
297		Wesley
298	6.	Mal'cev, A. I., (1949): On a class of homogenous spaces. IzvestiyaAkad. Nauk
299		SSSR.Ser. Mat.,13: 201-212.
300	7.	Ostrowski, A., (1916): Übereinige Lösungen der Funktionalgleichung $\varphi(x)\cdot\varphi(y)=$
301		$\varphi(xy)$. ActaMathematica (2nd ed.) 41 (1):271–284