



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Physical Science International Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_22652
Title of the Manuscript:	DEVELOPING A FAST AFFORDABLE AUTOMATIC COUNTING SYSTEM OF CR-39 SOLID STATE NUCLEAR TRACK DETECTORS
Type of the Article	Original research papers

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	1- The abstract should reflect your result not only general expressions. 2- No address standards and other guidance recently published or in course of development in order to achieve harmonization of practices and procedures for Radon monitoring. Suggest that more relevant reference will be necessary for this study. 3- More discussion should be done to helpful for building the study framework. 4- The conclusion should contains the major results from the study not general information.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	1- Check reference 15 and equation(1) as well 2- The construction of material and methods would be clearer	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The construction of material and methods would be clearer	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Huda A-Naemi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Hamad Medical Corporation, Occupational Health and Safety, Doha, Qatar</b>