

# SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org

#### **SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_19439
Title of the Manuscript:	High Microwave Absorption of Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes (Outer Diameter 10 – 20 nm)-Epoxy Composites in R–Band
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



## SDI Review Form 1.6

# PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	It would be helpful if the authors	
	discussed the reasoning behind the	
	choices of thickness and nanotube	
	percentage they chose to	
	investigate.	
	<ul> <li>It was not clear if multiple samples</li> </ul>	
	for each parameter set were	
	investigated, and if so, how many?	
	<ul> <li>lines 122-124: it is not at all obvious</li> </ul>	
	from the spectra in Figure 2 that the	
	peak positions are shifting.	
	Figure 2: I see remnants of the	
	MWCNT (100) and (002) peaks in	
	the composites but this was not	
	discussed in the paper. This is	

#### SCIENCEDOMAIN international

www.sciencedomain.org



# SDI Review Form 1.6

	worth mentioning	
	worth montioning.	
	<ul> <li>lines 138-140: This statement is</li> </ul>	
	rather obvious and does not	
	warrant italics.	
	• Figure 3: there was no explanation	
	offered for the relatively large	
	increases in the permittivities for the	
	9% and 10% composites. This	
	should be addressed.	
	<ul> <li>Do the authors have an explanation</li> </ul>	
	for the high adsorption in the 37-40	
	GHz range?	
Optional/General comments		

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	David R. Heskett
Department, University & Country	Department of Physics, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, USA