Original Research Article

2 Effects of the Magnetic Moments of the Interacting Particles on the Coulomb 3 Potential. Application to Hydrogen Atom.

6 ABSTRACT

7 8 9 By using a Coulomb potential, modified by the interaction between the magnetic moments of the electron and proton, we have calculated the energy levels of a hydrogen atom. We have obtained fine and hyperfine structure as well as 10 Lamb shift. All these are obtained from a simple formula which is a direct solution of the Schrödinger equation The 11 obtained results are in a good agreement with experimental data For example, the hyperfine splitting between the energy 12 levels of the states $1S_{1/2,1}$ and $1S_{1/2,0}$ is of the order of 5.6×10^{-6} eV, which is the source of the famous "21 cm line" which is strongly useful to radio astronomers for tracking hydrogen in the interstellar medium of galaxies. The energy 13 14 of the states $nP_{1/2}$ is lower than those of the states $nS_{1/2}$ (Lamb shift) because, in the first case, the interaction between 15 the magnetic moments of the proton and the electron spin is canceled by the spin-orbit coupling. 16

Keywords: Magnetic moments;; fine and hyperfine structure; Lamb shift of hydrogen atom.

19 1. INTRODUCTION

20

17

18

1

4 5

With the usual Hamiltonian for the hydrogen-like atom we have the n²-fold degeneracy of states 21 22 with the same principal quantum number, or $2n^2$ -fold once the spin degree of freedom is included. 23 In this real world, however, the degeneracy is lifted by corrections that arise due to the special 24 relativity. These corrections (known as fine structure) derive from three (superficially) different 25 sources: (a) relativistic corrections to the kinetic energy, (b) coupling between the spin and orbital 26 degree of freedom, (c) and a contribution knowing as a Darwin term. Relativistic corrections split degenerate multiplets leading to small shift in energy, ca $10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$ eV. In addition, nucleus has a 27 spin which leads to a nuclear magnetic moment. Interaction of electronic magnetic moment with 28 field generated by nuclear magnetic moment leads to further splitting of multiplets (hyperfine 29 structure), ca. $10^{-7} - 10^{-8}$ eV. In 1947, an experimental study by W. Lamb discovered that $2P_{1/2}$ state 30 is slightly lower than $2S_{1/2}$ state- Lamb shift [1]. The effect is explained in the theory of quantum 31 32 electrodynamics[2], in which the electromagnetic interaction itself is quantized. Some of the effects 33 of this theory which cause the Lamb shift are as follows: vacuum polarization, electron mass 34 renormalization, anomalous magnetic moment. On the basis of this theory, we have studied in a 35 previous paper [3], the Lamb shift without taking into account the electron charge. Famous fine 36 structure was first gotten by Bohr-Sommerfeld model in 1916[4]. The fine structure used formally 37 now is the hydrogen solution by Dirac equation[5]. Surprisingly, these solutions by Dirac equations 38 are just equal to those of Sommerfeld model. However, Dirac's hydrogen includes a lot of wrong states (= $1P_{1/2}$, $2D_{3/2}$, $3F_{5/2}$,...). The interpretation of very tiny Lamb shift depends completely on the 39 40 interpretation that Dirac's hydrogen is right. Quantum electrodynamics Lamb shift is much more 41 complicated and filled with artificial tricks. Lamb shift measurements is too difficult and vague in 42 respect of accuracy. We cannot see what is really happening in the key small effect (= 43 0.000004372 eV, 1068 MHz) hyperfine level. Though the Lamb shift is very small, the author tried 44 to measure this value believing $2S_{1/2}$ state is "metastable" and the collision between excited 45 hydrogen atom and plates is a precise method for Lamb shift. In this experiment there is no 46 guarantee that modified Zeeman effect is always linearly effective, and excited metastable states 47 really mean $2S_{1/2}$. There are only assumptions. And, of course, the collision method is rough and not 48 precise to measure this very tiny value. Even the latest optic methods, cannot confirm these states 49 really express the energy difference between $2S_{1/2}$ and $2P_{1/2}$. They just estimate it. Considering 50 Lamb shift is almost same as nuclear hyperfine structure some nuclear or electron's vibrations may 51 influence very tiny data. In this paper we calculate the hydrogen energy levels by solving 52 Schrödinger equation with a modified Coulomb potential by interaction between magnetic moments

53 of nucleus and electron's respectively, as we have proceed to study ferromagnetism [6]. Also, we

54 have used this modified Coulomb potential to evaluate high excitation energy levels of helium [7],

55 deuteron energy states [8], and energy levels of a pionic atom [9]. As we will see below, Lamb shift

56 appears as a natural result for the energy eigenvalues of Schrödinger equation .

57

2. EFFECTS OF THE INTERACTION BETWEEN THE MAGNETIC 58 **MOMENTS ON THE COULOMB'S POTENTIAL** 59

60

61 In a previous paper [10] we have found the following expression for the energy of interaction 62 between two electrons via bosons

63

$$64 \qquad E_I = \frac{-\hbar^2 D^2}{32m^2 R^2 (\rho_o + \frac{DR}{c^2})^2} \frac{\sum_{k,q,q,o} (qq_o)^2}{\omega_q^2 \omega_{qo}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\sum_n e^{iq_o R_n}|^2 \frac{1}{\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k-q} - \omega_q} n_k n_{k-q} (n_q + 1)(n_{qo} + 1)$$
(1)

65

where D is a coupling constant, m is the mass of an electron, R is the distance between the two 66 electrons, ρ_0 is the massive density of the interacting field, DR/c^2 is the "mass less density" of the 67 interacting field, $\omega_{a} = cq$ is the classical oscillation frequency of the interacting field, ω_{ao} is the 68 oscillation frequency of an electron, q is the wave vector of the interacting field, q_0 is the wave 69 70 vector of the boson associated with the electron, k is the wave vector of the electron,

 $\varepsilon_{\kappa} = \hbar k^2/2 m$, n_q is the occupation number of the bosons associated with the magnetic field, n_{qo} is 71 72 the occupation number of the bosons associated with the electrons, and n_k is the occupation number 73 of the electrons. When the interacting field is a photon field, then $\rho_0 = 0$. For a quasi free electron ε_k - $\varepsilon_{k-q} = 0$, $\omega_{qo} = \hbar q_o^2/2m$. The Coulomb interaction occurs via photons, so that we may assume that 74 75 the interacting electron oscillate with ω_{qo} . By using that n_q , $n_{qo} = 0$, n_k , $n_{k-q} = 1$, Eq. (1) becomes 76

77
$$E_{I} = \frac{\hbar^{3} c^{4}}{32m^{2}R^{4}} \frac{\sum_{k,q,qo} (qq_{o})^{2}}{\omega_{q}^{3}\omega_{qo}^{2}} \frac{1}{2} |\sum_{n} e^{iq_{o}R_{n}}|^{2}$$
(2)

78

- 79 $\sum_{k} 1 = 1$. Further,
- 80

$$\frac{\sum_{q} (qq_{o})^{2}}{\omega_{q}^{3}\omega_{qo}^{2}} = (\frac{2m}{\hbar})^{2} \frac{1}{q_{o}^{2}c^{3}} \frac{\Omega}{(2\pi)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi} (\cos(\alpha))^{2} \sin(\alpha) d\alpha \int_{0}^{qo} q dq = (\frac{2m}{\hbar})^{2} \frac{R^{3}}{9\pi c^{3}}$$

81

82 For $q_{o1} = q_{o2} = q_o$ and $R_2 - R_I = R$, we have 83

$$\sum_{qo} |\sum_{n} e^{iq_{o}R_{n}}|^{2} = \sum_{qo} 2(1 + \cos(\Gamma)) = 2 \sum_{qo} [1 + \cos(q_{o}R)] =$$

$$2 + 2 \frac{\Omega}{(\sigma)^{2}} \int_{0}^{0.94\pi/a} q_{o}^{2} dq_{o} \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos(q_{o}R\cos\theta)\sin\theta d\theta = 3.3$$
(3)

84

$$2 + 2\frac{\Omega}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^{0.94\pi/a} q_o^2 dq_o \int_0^{\pi} \cos(q_o R \cos\theta) \sin\theta d\theta = 3.$$

86 where $\Gamma = \mathbf{q}_0 \mathbf{R}_2 - \mathbf{q}_0 \mathbf{R}_1$, for n = 1, 2. The interaction energy becomes

87

85

$$E_I = 0.00729 \frac{\hbar c}{R} = \alpha \frac{\hbar c}{R}$$
(4)

89

90 Taking the upper limit of q_0 as 0.94 π/R , which is with 6% lower than π/R , one obtains the value of α just as for experimental value. The relation (4) represents the Coulomb's law, which now is 91

92 obtained without taking into account the electron charge concept. It was shown[10] that for charges

- 93 of opposite sign the interaction energy (4) has the sign minus.
- 94 In presence of a magnetic field in the above equation we introduce and thus we substitute $q_0 R$ by

 $q_o R - \frac{e}{\hbar c} \oint A dR$ and 95 96
$$\begin{split} |\sum_{n=1,2} e^{iq_o R_n}|^2 &= 2[1+\cos(\Gamma)]\\ \Gamma &= \overrightarrow{q_o}R_2 - q_o R_1 - \frac{e}{\hbar c} (\oint A(R_2) dR_2 - \oint A(R_1) dR_1) \end{split}$$
97 98 We consider the potential vector $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{\mu} \times \mathbf{R})/\mathbf{R}^3$ where $\mathbf{\mu}$ is the magnetic dipole moment and \mathbf{R} is a 99 vector from the middle of the loop to the observation point. The theory and experiment demonstrate 100 that the free electron has a magnetic moment equal to the Bohr magneton $\mu_{\rm B}$ and a spin momentum 101 s, the projection of which on a specified direction are $s_z = \pm \hbar/2 = \hbar m_s$ where $m_s = \pm 1/2$ is the spin 102 quantum number. For $\mu_z^{(s)} = \mu_B gm_s$, with g = 2, we obtain 103 104 $\Gamma = \frac{1}{2}(q_1 + q_2)(R_2 - R_1) + \frac{1}{2}(q_2 - q_1)(R_2 + R_1)$ 105 (6) $\frac{-e}{\hbar c^2} \frac{e^2}{4\pi m} \frac{h}{e} \oint 2 \frac{m_{s2} \times R_{21}}{R_{21}^3} dR_{21} - \frac{e}{\hbar c^2} \frac{e^2}{4\pi m} \frac{h}{e} \oint 2 \frac{m_{s1} \times R_{12}}{R_{12}^3} dR_{12}$ 106 where $(h/e)m_{s2}$ and $(h/e)m_{s1}$ are the flux vectors. For $q_1 = q_2 = q_0$, one obtains 107 108 $\Gamma = q_o R \cos\theta - \Gamma_o$ 109 (7) $\Gamma_{o} = \frac{e^{2}}{mc^{2}} \left(\frac{4\pi m_{s2}}{R} - \frac{4\pi m_{s1}}{R} \right)$ 110 We have used the relation $q'_o = q_o - \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \frac{2m_s}{R^2} x$ where **x** is a unit vector which is perpendicular to **R** 111 112 and μ . The interaction energy between the two electrons when we take into account their magnetic 113 moments is given by the expression 114 $E_C = \frac{\hbar c}{144\pi R} [2 + 1.3\cos(\Gamma_o)]$ 115 (8)116

where Γ_0 is given by Eq. (7). For $m_{s1} = m_{s2} = \frac{1}{2}$, one obtains $\Gamma_0 = 0$ so that Eq. (8) reduces to Eq. 117 (4), that is when the spins of the two electrons are oriented in the same direction there is not a 118 modification of the Coulomb's potential. When $m_{s1} = \frac{1}{2}$, $m_{s2} = -\frac{1}{2}$, one obtains $\Gamma_0 = 2\pi e^2/mc^2 R$, so 119 120 that for a certain value of R results $\Gamma_0 = \pi$, and the interaction energy between the two electrons is 121 reduced by a factor of $0.7/3.3 \sim 1/5$.

(5)

122 However, like the electron, the proton has spin angular momentum with $s_p = \frac{1}{2}$, and associated 123 with this angular momentum is an intrinsic dipole moment

124

$$\mu_p = \frac{\gamma_p e}{Mc} s_p$$

125

126 where M is the proton mass and γ_p is a numerical factor known experimentally to be 2.7928. The magnetic moment of the electron moving around the proton is 127 128

$$\mu_e = \frac{e}{2Mc} \left(L + 2S\right)$$

130 where \mathbf{L} is the orbital angular momentum and \mathbf{S} is the spin angular momentum. For the hydrogen 131 atom

132

129

	netic qu	proton qu antum nu	uantum nu umber. In '	Table I are given the values of parameter <i>a</i> for different different for the values of parameter a for different
the elect	ron in h	iydrogen	atom. Table I	ſ
The valu	ies of th	e param	eter a for t	the hydrogen atom energy states
State	m_l	ms	s _p	<i>a</i> , 10 ⁻¹⁵ m
nS _{1/2,1}	0	1/2	1/2	8.83910580399
nS _{1/2,0}	0	1⁄2	-1/2	8.86601104677
nP _{1/2}	1	-1/2	±1/2	0.01345262138974
nP _{3/2,2}	1	1/2	1/2	17.69166422937
nP _{3/2,-1}	1	1/2	-1/2	17.718569447215
nD _{3/2,2}	2	-1/2	1⁄2	8.83910680399
nD _{3/2,1}	2	-1/2	-1/2	8.86601104677
nD _{5/2,3}	2	1⁄2	1⁄2	26.54422265475
nD _{5/2,2}	2	1⁄2	-1/2	26.57112789753
nF _{5/2,3}	3	-1/2	1/2	17.69166422937
nF _{5/2,2}	3	-1/2	-1/2	17.718569447215
nF _{7/2,4}	3	1/2	1/2	35.39678392921
nF _{7/2,3}	3	1⁄2	-1/2	35.42368632291
3 THE	ELEC	CTRON	I ENER	GY LEVELS IN THE HYDROGEN ATOM

178
$$\left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\left(\frac{-d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right) - V_c(r)\right)R = ER$$
 (10)

Now we write
$$R(r) = r^{1}\rho(r)$$
 where $\rho(0) = 0$. Eq. (10) is now
($\frac{-h^{2}}{2m}\left(\frac{d^{2}}{dr^{2}} + \frac{2(l+1)}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\right) - \frac{2hc}{144\pi r}(1 + 0.650132\cos(\frac{a}{r})))\rho = E\rho$ (11)
We are interested in the bound state solutions and therefore $\rho(r) - e^{-\beta r}$ for $r \to \infty$, so that we try the solution $\rho(r)=f(r)exp(-\frac{b}{p}f(1+0.65013266\cos(a/r)+0.65013266\sin(a/r))]$, Eq. (4) becomes
 $f'' - 2\beta[1 + 0.65013266\cos(a/r) + 0.65013266\sin(a/r)]f' + \frac{1.30026532\beta a}{r}(-\sin(a/r) + \cos(a/r))f'$
 $+ 0.65013266\frac{\beta a}{r^{2}}(\cos(a/r) - \sin(a/r))f + \beta^{2}[1 + 0.65013266\cos(a/r) + 0.65013266\sin(a/r)]^{2}f$
 $-\frac{1.30026532\beta^{a}a}{r}(\cos(a/r) - \sin(a/r))[1 + 0.65013266\cos(a/r) + 0.65013266\sin(a/r)]f$
 $+ 0.65013266^{2}\beta^{2}\frac{a^{2}}{r^{2}}[-\sin(a/r) + \cos(a/r)]^{2}f - 065013266\frac{\beta a^{2}}{r^{3}}(-\cos(a/r) - \sin(a/r))f$
 $+ \frac{2(l+1)}{r}f' - \frac{2(l+1)}{r}\beta[1 + 0.65013266\cos(a/r) + 0.65013266\sin(a/r)]f$
 $+ 1.30026532(l+1)\frac{\beta a}{r^{2}}(\cos(a/r) - \sin(a/r))f + \frac{2\hbar c}{144\pi r}\frac{2m}{\hbar^{2}}[1 + 0.65013266\cos(a/r)]f = -\frac{2m}{\hbar^{2}}Ef$
To avoid $f(r)$ to diverge at infinity to overcome the wanted exponential suppression, we require $f(r)$ to be a polynomial in
 $f(r) = \sum_{k} c_{k}r^{k}$ (12)
The differential equation then becomes

r

(12)

 $f(r) = \sum_k \quad c_k r^k$

The differential equation then becomes

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k} & c_{k}k(k-1)r^{k-2} - 2\beta[1+0.65013266\cos(a/r)+0.65013266\sin(a/r)]\sum_{k} & c_{k}kr^{k-1} \\ & +1.30026532\beta a(\cos(a/r)-\sin(a/r))\sum_{k} & c_{k}kr^{k-2} \\ & +\beta^{2}[1+0.65013266\cos(a/r)+0.65013266\sin(a/r)]^{2}\sum_{k} & c_{k}r^{k} \\ & -1.30026532\beta^{2}a(\cos(a/r)-\sin(a/r))[1+0.65013266\cos(a/r)+0.65013266\sin(a/r)]\sum_{k} & c_{k}r^{k-1} \\ & +(0.65013266)^{2}\beta^{2}a^{2}(\cos(a/r)-\sin(a/r))^{2}\sum_{k} & c_{k}r^{k-2} \\ & -1.30026532\beta a^{2}(-\cos(a/r)-\sin(a/r))\sum_{k} & c_{k}r^{k-3}+2(l+1)\sum_{k} & c_{k}r^{k-2} \\ & -2(l+1)\beta[1+0.650\cos(a/r)+0.65013266\sin(a/r)]\sum_{k} & c_{k}r^{k-1} \\ & +1.30026532(l+1)\beta a(\cos(a/r)-\sin(a/r))\sum_{k} & c_{k}r^{k-2} \\ & \frac{+4mc}{144\pi\hbar}[1+0.65013266\cos(a/r)]\sum_{k} & c_{k}r^{k-1}+\frac{2m}{\hbar^{2}}E\sum_{k} & c_{k}r^{k}=0 \end{split}$$

193

At this stage we assume the constraint condition that the argument of *sine* and *cosine*, $a/r = a/n^2 a_o$, where n = l+k + 1 is the principal quantum number and a_o is the Bohr radius. Collecting coefficients of r^{k-1} the above equation gives us the recursion relation 194

195

196

197 $c_{k+1}k(k+1) + c_{k+1}1.30026532\beta a(\cos(a/n^2 a_0) - \sin(a/n^2 a_0))(k+1)$ $+c_{k+1}0.65013266\beta a(cos(a/n^2 a_0) - sin(a/n^2 a_0)) + c_{k+1}2(k+1)(l+1)$ $+c_{k+1}0.65013266^2\beta^2a^2(-\sin(a/n^2a_0)+\cos(a/n^2a_0))^2$ $+c_{k+1}\{1.30026532(l+1)\beta a(cos\{a/n^2 a_0\} - sin(a/n^2 a_0))\}$ $-c_k \{ 2\beta [1 + 0.65013266 cos(a/n^2 a_o) + 0.65013266 sin(a/n^2 a_o)]k \}$ $-c_k 1.30026532\beta^2 a(\cos(a/n^2 a_0) - \sin(a/n^2 a_0))[1 + 0.65013266\cos(a/n^2 a_0) + \sin(a/n^2 a_0)]$ $-c_k 2(l+1)\beta[1+0.65013266\cos(a/n^2 a_o) + 0.65013266\sin(a/n^2 a_o)]$ $+c_k \{\frac{4mc}{144\pi\hbar} [1 + 0.65013266 cos(cos(a/n^2 a_o)) + 0.65013266 sin(a/n^2 a_o)]\}$ $+c_{k-1}\{\beta^{2}[1+0.65013266\cos(a/n^{2}a_{o})+0.65013266\sin(a/n^{2}a_{o})]+\frac{2m}{k^{2}\Box}E\}$ $-c_{k+2}\{1.30026532\beta a^{2}(-\cos(a/n^{2}a_{0}) - \sin(a/n^{2}a_{0}))\} = 0$ 198 199 We assume $c_{k+1} = 0$, $c_{k+2} = 0$ and 200 $2\beta(k+l+1) + 1.30026532\beta^2 a(\cos(a/n^2 a_o) - \sin(a/n^2 a_o))$ $\frac{-4mc}{144\pi\hbar} \frac{1 + 0.65013266\cos(a/n^2 a_o)}{1 + 0.65013266\cos(a/n^2 a_o) + 0.65013266\sin(a/n^2 a_o)} = 0$ $E = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \beta^2 [1 + 0.65013266 \cos(a/n^2 a_o) + 0.65013266 \sin(a/n^2 a_o)]^2$ 201 202 whence 203 β $\sqrt{n^2 + \frac{4mca}{144\pi\hbar} \times 1.30026532(\cos(a/n^2 a_o) - \sin(a/n^2)a_o) \frac{1 + 0.65013266\cos(a/n^2 a_o)}{1 + 0.65013266(\cos(a/n^2 a_o) + \sin(a/n^2 a_o))}}$ $1.30026532a(\cos(a/n^2 a_o) - \sin(a/n^2 a_o))$ 204 205 Further, 206 $E = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} [1 + 0.65013266\cos(a/n^2 a_o) + 0.65013266\sin(a/n^2 a_o)]^2$ $\frac{[\sqrt{n^2 + \frac{4mca}{144\pi\hbar} \times 1.30026532(\cos(a/n^2a_o) - \sin(a/n^2a_o))N} - n]^2}{(1.30026532)^2 a^2 (\cos(a/n^2a_o) - \sin(a/n^2a_o))^2}$ 207 (13) $N = \frac{1 + 0.65013266\cos(a/n^2a_o)}{1 + 0.65013266\cos(a/n^2a_o) + \sin(a/n^2a_o)}$ 208 The values of parameter a are given in Table I. For $a \rightarrow 0$, one obtains the usual formula

209

210

- $E = \frac{-\alpha^2 m c^2}{2n^2}$ 211 (14)
- 212
- By using series expansions 213

214

$$(1+x)^{1/2} = 1 + \frac{x}{2} - \frac{x^2}{8} + \dots$$

$$cos(x) = 1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \dots$$

$$sin(x) = x - \frac{x^3}{3!} + \dots$$
215
216
Eq.(13) reduces to
217
218
$$E = \frac{-\alpha^2 mc^2}{2n^2} \left[1 - \frac{1.30026532}{3.30026532} \frac{\alpha mca}{n^3\hbar} \left(1 - \frac{a}{n^2 a_o}\right) \frac{1.65013266}{1.65013266 + 0.65013266 \frac{a}{n^2 a_o}}\right]^2 \quad (15)$$

219

220 where $\alpha = 2 \times 1.65013266/144\pi$. For hydrogen-like atoms, α is replaced by αZ . In Table II are 221 presented the values of the hydrogen energy levels, which are calculated by using Eq. (15).

-	Table II Theoretical values of the hydrogen energy levels.						
State	- <i>E</i> eV	State	- <i>E</i> eV				
1S _{1/2,1}	13.596644180791	3D _{5/2,2}	1.510914931481				
1S _{1/2,0}	13.59663873496	$4S_{1/2,1}$	0.8499003548622				
2P _{1/2}	3.3996083257396	4S _{1/2,0}	0.8499003494741				
2S _{1/2,1}	3.3995523113018	4P _{1/2}	0.8499021000549				
2S _{1/2,0}	3.399552481535752	4P _{3/2,2}	0.8498985045103				
2P _{3/2,2}	3.399496472329	4P _{3/2,1}	0.8498985991906				
2P _{3/3,1}	3.399496302014	4D _{3/2,2}	0.8499003548622				
3P _{1/2}	1.5109370602835	4D _{3/2,1}	0.8499003494741				
3S _{1/2,1}	1.5109297061228	4D _{5/2,3}	0.8498968542270				
3S _{1/2,0}	1.5109664833516	4D _{5/2,2}	0.8498968489076				
3P _{3/2,2}	1.5109223298343	4F _{5/2,3}	0.8498985045103				
3P _{3/2,1}	1.5109223074163	4F _{5/2,2}	0.8498985991906				
3D _{3/2,2}	1.5109297061228	4F _{7/2,4}	0.8498951040425				
3D _{3/2,1}	1.5109664833516	4F _{7/2,3}	0.8498950987239				

259 3D_{5/2,3} 1.5109149538382

260 261 We have used the following values of the constants: $m = 9.109389 \times 10^{-31}$ kg, $c = 2.997925 \times 10^8$ m/s, 262 $\hbar = 1.054572 \times 10^{-34}$ Js, $a_0 = 0529177 \times 10^{-10}$ m. With these values of the constants one obtains E_1 263 $=\alpha^2 mc^2/2 = 13.605703346973$ eV. The obtained results are in a good agreement with experimental 264 data.

For the specific case of the ground state of the hydrogen atom (n = 1), the energy separation

between the states $1S_{1/2,0}$ and $1S_{1/2,1}$ is 5.6×10^{-6} eV The photon corresponding to the transition

between these states has wavelength of 21.1 cm. This is the source of the famous "21 cm line",

which is extremely useful to radio astronomers for tracking hydrogen in the interstellar medium of

galaxies. The separation between $2P_{3/2}$ and $2P_{1/2}$ is 10^{-4} eV and is generated by the spin-orbit

- coupling. Lamb shift appears also as a natural result in our model. The difference in energy between two energy levels $2S_{1/2}$ and $2P_{1/2}$ is 5.6×10^{-5} eV, and so on.
- 272

273 4. CONCLUSIONS

274

We have presented a theory which explain fine and hyperfine structure as well as the Lamb shift for

the hydrogen atom. The theory is based on the modification of the Coulomb potential due to the

277 interaction between the magnetic moments of the electron and proton, respectively. Every energy level associated 278 with a particular set of quantum numbers *n*, *l*, and *j*, is split into two levels of slightly different energy depending 279 on the relative orientation of the proton magnetic dipole with the electron state. The obtained results are in a good

on the relative orientation of the proton magnetic dipole with the electron state. The obtained results are in a good
 agreement with experimental data. For example

the separation energy between the two states of the ground state corresponds to the famous wavelength of a

- photon of 21.1 cm. The energy of the states $nP_{1/2}$ is lower than the energy of the states $nS_{1/2}$ because in the first case the contribution of the interaction between the magnetic moments of the proton and neutron is canceled by
- the spin-orbit coupling.
- 285
- 286

287 **REFERENCES**

- 288
- 1. Lamb E, Rutherford RC. Fine Structure of the Hydrogen Atomby a Microwave Method Phys.
 Rev. 1947;72;241-243
- 291 2. Greiner W, Reinhardt J, Quantum Electrodynamics, Springer, 2003
- 292 3. Dolocan V, Dolocan A, Dolocan VO, Quantum Mechanical Treatment of the Lamb Shift
- 293 without taking into Account the Electric Charge, Nonlinear and Complex Dynamic s, Eds. J A
- 294 Tenreiro Machado, D Baleanu and C J Luo, pp.150, Springer, 2011
- 4. Sommerfeld A. Zur Quantentheorie der Spectrallinien. Annalen der Physik 1916;51;125-167.
- 5. Dirac PAM. The Quantum Theory of the Electron. Proc. Roy. Soc. of London 1928;A117;610-624.
- 298 6. Dolocan V, Dolocan VO. Effect of the Spin-Spin Interaction on the Coulomb's Law. Application
- to Ferromagnetism. World Journal of Condensed Matter Physics, 2012;2;117-123; revised verssion
- 300 arXiv: 1206.0537
- 301 7..Dolocan V, Evaluation of the Coulomb and Exchange Integrals for Higher Excited States of
- Helium Atom, taking into Account the Interaction between the Magnetic Moments of the Electrons.arXiv:1304.2988
- 304 8. Dolocan V, Dolocan VO, Dolocan A. Interaction between Fermions via Mass less Bosons and
- 305 Massive Particles. Journal of Physical Science and Applications, 2013;5;332-340; revised version
- 306 arXiv:1406.1311
- 307 9. Dolocan V. Effects of the Interaction between the Magnetic Moments on the Energy Levels of a
- 308 Pionic Atom, arXiv:1503.09125
- 309 10. Dolocan V, Dolocan A, Dolocan VO. Quantum Mechanical Treatment of the Electron-Boson
- 310 Interaction viewed as a Coupling through Flux Lines.Polarons. Int. J. Mod. Phys. 2010;B24; 479-

- 311 312 313 495; On the Chemical Bindings in Solids. Rom. J. Phys. **2010**;55; 153172. 11. A. E.Kramida Atomic data and nuclear data tables **96**, 586(2010)