



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_21420
Title of the Manuscript:	On Multiple Zagreb indices of a family of Hexagonal Nanotubes
Type of the Article	Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The author(s) investigate(s) molecular systems using Computation. And of course, We may expect drawing, simulation, modelling, Visualization.... With the lack of these Computation products, the discussion is poor. Unfortunately, We face a lecture. Results and discussion are reduced to definition, theorems and demonstrations / proofs. According to the above comments, the paper needs to be improved.	Very thank for comments from reviewer. But about these notations and figures in this paper, I tied to write these in simply to make my results faster, not complete clear. I try to said all mathematical formulas and notations clear.
Minor REVISION comments	Revision need to be done: Line 37 "...may also written as" Line 47 "The 3D and 2- Dimensional lattices..." They must be homogeneity in notation (nomenclature): 3D and 2D. Line 59: "hexagonsin"	All reviewer comments are revised. All mistakes in main text are modified.
Optional/General comments	The project seems uncompleted. There is no Conclusion / Summary.	On based the reviewer opinion, the Conclusion section be added.