



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_19833
Title of the Manuscript:	Current-Voltage Characteristic of InGaSe2
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. the paper title should be changed as "Current-Voltage Characteristic of Bridgeman-Stockbarger InGaSe₂ thin films"</p> <p>2. The figure quality should be improved. For example, the flow-chart in Fig. 1 is unclear. The temperature index in Fig.2 is missed. In Fig. 5, the (a.u.) in Y-axis should be deleted.</p> <p>3. The English should be improved.</p> <p>4. The abstract should be rewritten.</p> <p>I required authors to make necessary corrections as above comments. However, I do not think authors had edited the paper as my requirements. The abstract and English are still poor, the paper title does not be changed.</p>	<p>the paper title changed as "Current-Voltage Characteristic of Bridgeman-Stockbarger InGaSe₂ thin films"</p> <p>The figure quality improved. For example, the Fig. 1 corrected. The temperature index in Fig.2 given and select with yellow. In Fig. 5, the (a.u.) in Y-axis changed by arb.u.</p> <p>The English corrected</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		