
Response to Report

We thank the referee for his/her time and effort in reviewing our manuscript. He/She raised suggestions pertaining to the English writing and the missing reference. We have fully addressed all the issues. Detailed response is presented as follows.

Referee reports and answers:

The authors have carefully revised their paper and the revised version is much better the original. The English writing still needs to be improved to ensure the quality of the paper. There are some grammar errors in the revised paper. For example, the word “degree” in the abstract may better all be replaced by “degrees”. In the conclusion part, the word “effectively” and “efficiency” are misused several times (see “...is more effectively...” and “...more efficiency...”). The authors did not mention some results for pinning control of directed complex networks and they are suggested to present a brief review in the Section of introduction and state some future trends in the Conclusion Section.

Answer: We have corrected our English writing in the main text about “degrees”, “effective” and “effectiveness”. We also insert the recent study references [14-17] about pinning control on directed networks in the section of Introduction and give some future study of our work in the Conclusion section.