



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_15652
Title of the Manuscript:	Discussion of Time and Tide: analysis of sea level time series
Type of the Article	Commentary

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The paper " Discussion of Time and Tide: analysis of sea level time series" investigates tide gauges. I found the topic could be a broad interest for the general audience of Physical Science International Journal. The finding could provide management implication for tide gauges. However, some points will need to be addressed:</p> <p>Abstract part is not accurate enough, the authors should elaborate it so that the reader can know the main content from it.</p> <p>Authors should reorganize the Introduction, description about "tide gauges、 sea level" should be more specific.</p> <p>Elaborate discussion and conclusion. The Conclusion did not summarize the article well.</p>	<p>Agree. Manuscript will be fixed accordingly.</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		