



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_15652
Title of the Manuscript:	Discussion of Time and Tide: analysis of sea level time series
Type of the Article	Commentary

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. This manuscript is interesting, but should be significantly edited by native English speakers to improve the English usage in the article.2. Figure 1 and Figure 2 should be re-plotted to improve the quality.3. Highlight the importance of this study and the purpose of this study in the "Introduction".4. The authors mentioned the Figure 1a to Figure 1e, but did not discuss Figure 1f. The similar condition, the authors did not discuss Figure 2a to Figure 2d.5. The authors should follow the Journal guideline to construct their manuscript, for example, the citations used in the content.	Agree. Manuscript will be fixed accordingly.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		