



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Physical Science International Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | 2014_PSIJ_15652 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Discussion of Time and Tide: analysis of sea level time series |
| Type of the Article | Commentary |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | Although the Manuscript is deficient in creative activity, but it has completely make an objective appraisal with discussion on the Tide gauge matter and honestly sums up original opinions. It is still suggested that any statements at parts of conclusion should be changed in order to be understand accurately. | Agree. Manuscript will be fixed accordingly. |