



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_14992
Title of the Manuscript:	GROSS ALPHA AND BETA ACTIVITY CONCENTRATIONS IN SURFACE WATER SUPPLIES FROM MINING AREAS OF PLATEAU STATE, NIGERIA AND ESTIMATION OF INFANTS AND ADULTS ANNUAL COMMITTED EFFECTIVE DOSE
Type of the Article	Data Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Nil	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Line 68: water quality determination into another: (Re-write this statement).</p> <p>Line 96: Statement is incomplete. (Re-write)</p> <p>Figs. 2, 3, 4 & 5: X- and Y-axes of graphs should be properly labeled.</p> <p>There should be a section for discussion and this can be in Line 160.</p> <p>Lines 195 & 195: Re-write the sentence.</p>	Agreed
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>The work is similar to the referenced work of Agbalagba, E.O. and Avwiri, G.O. (2012) which was carried out in a completely different area in Nigeria.To this extent</p>	Yes