



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_46255
Title of the Manuscript:	INITIAL DIAGNOSTIC DILEMMA WITH 3 NEURO-OPHTHALMIC CASES SEEN AT UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT TEACHING HOSPITAL, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Case Series

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. There is mix-up in the visual acuities stated. Please let us know the presenting visual acuity (VA) and then the pin hole or the best corrected vision.	
Optional/General comments	They are interesting cases though.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tayo Julius Bogunjoko
Department, University & Country	Eye Foundation Hospital Group, Nigeria