



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_OR_46255
Title of the Manuscript:	INITIAL DIAGNOSTIC DILEMMA WITH 3 NEURO-OPHTHALMIC CASES SEEN AT UNIVERSITY OF PORT HARCOURT TEACHING HOSPITAL, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Case Series

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please spell out abbreviations on their first mentioning (abstract: RV; case 1: RAPD, IOP, BMI, ESR, FBC, FBS, CVF, LP; case 2: ENT, SOL, KP).	ALL THE COMMENTS NOTED AND CORRECTIONS EFFECTED IN THE MANUSCRIPT
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Three well-described cases, followed by detailed, logical discussion. Worthy of publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	NO THERE WERE NO ETHICAL ISSUES AS NO FULL FACE PHOTOGRAPH WAS USED IN CASE 1